

GERALD SCHMIEDER and MASAKAZU SHIBA

**On the size of the ideal boundary
of a finite Riemann surface**

ABSTRACT. The ideal boundary of a non-compact Riemann surface R_0 becomes visible if R_0 is embedded into some compact surface R which naturally should have the same genus g as R_0 . All these compactifications of R_0 can be compared in a certain quotient space of \mathbb{C}^g . With respect to the canonical metric in this space the diameters of all models of the ideal boundary of R_0 are known to be bounded (cf. [4]) by a number depending only on R_0 .

In this paper we prove that the diameter of each component has either a positive lower bound, depending only of R_0 , or this component appears to be a single point in any compactification R .

Introduction. There are several definitions of the ideal boundary of Riemann surfaces (cf. [2]). In this article we consider a finitely connected, non-compact Riemann surface R_0 of finite genus g . If $\iota : R_0 \rightarrow R$ is a conformal embedding of R_0 into some compact surface R of genus g , then we call the boundary $\partial\iota(R_0) \subset R$ the *ideal boundary* of R_0 with respect to the compactification (R, ι) of R_0 . We will ask for properties of this ideal boundary which are independent of (R, ι) and such characteristics of R_0 . As in [4] we use a suitable Jacobian manifold, a quotient space of \mathbb{C}^g , in

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 30F25, Secondary 30F20.

Key words and phrases. Ideal boundary, finite Riemann surface, Jacobian manifold.

which each embedding $\iota(R_0) \subset R$ can again be embedded. On the Jacobian manifold we have a natural metric, induced by the euclidean metric on \mathbb{C}^g . With respect to this metric we may compare the diameter of the ideal boundaries which we obtain for all the different embeddings in any surfaces R as described above. In [4] is proved that there is some uniform bound for all these diameters.

The ideal boundary, realized as a portion of a compact surface R , consists of components. Because R_0 is provided as a finitely connected surface we have only finitely many components of the ideal boundary. It is easy to verify that there is a one-to-one correspondence of these components if we consider two or more different embeddings $\iota_1 : R_0 \rightarrow R_1, \iota_2 : R_0 \rightarrow R_2$. In this sense we understand *the components of the ideal boundary* of R_0 . The purpose of this article is to show that for each such component we have (besides the supremum obtained in [4]) also a non trivial infimum for the diameter of the corresponding subset of the Jacobian manifold, which is valid for all such compactifications R of R_0 . If the infimum is 0, then the component in view is always (i.e. on each such R) a singleton.

1. Notations and Definitions. Let, as before, R_0 denote some finitely connected non-compact Riemann surface of finite genus $g > 0$. Then we can fix g pairs of piecewise smooth curves a_j^0, b_j^0 such $\chi_0 = \{a_j^0, b_j^0\}_{j=1}^g$ represents a canonical homology basis modulo dividing cycles on R_0 (cf. [1]). Now we consider some compact Riemann surface R of genus g together with some conformal embedding $\iota : R_0 \rightarrow R$ and define

$$\iota(a_j^0) =: a_j \text{ and } \iota(b_j^0) =: b_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq g)$$

It can be easily seen that the g pairs of curves $\chi = \{a_j, b_j\}_{j=1}^g$ represent a canonical homology basis for R .

We say that the triple $\mathcal{R} = (R, \chi, \iota)$ gives a conformal compactification of the (marked) Riemann surface (R_0, χ_0) .

Remark: For each $j, 1 \leq j \leq g$ there is one and only one closed holomorphic differential $\phi^{(j)}$ on R with

$$(1) \quad \int_{a_k} \phi^{(j)} = \delta_{jk}, \quad \int_{b_k} \phi^{(j)} =: \tau_{jk} \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, g),$$

where δ_{jk} denotes the Kronecker symbol(cf. [3] III.2.8).

We write $\tau_k(R, \chi)$ resp. ϵ_k for the k th column of the matrix (τ_{jk}) resp. (δ_{jk}) .

Let Π stand for the linear span with integer coefficients of the $2g$ vectors

$$\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_g, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_g$$

and we call

$$\text{Jac} (R, \chi) := \mathbb{C}^g / \Pi$$

the Jacobian manifold of the marked Riemann surface (R, χ) . We have the canonical projection $\pi : \mathbb{C}^g \rightarrow \text{Jac} (R, \chi)$.

Now we fix some point p^0 on R and take for each $p \in R$ a piecewise smooth curve γ_p on R with initial point p^0 and endpoint p . This defines a map $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}} : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$ via

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}}(p) = \left(\int_{\gamma_p} \phi^{(1)}, \int_{\gamma_p} \phi^{(2)}, \dots, \int_{\gamma_p} \phi^{(g)} \right).$$

Note that the image $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}}(p)$ depends on p and on the contour γ_p . However, the composition map $\Phi_{\mathcal{R}} := \pi \circ \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}} : R \rightarrow \text{Jac} (R, \chi)$ turns out to be independent of the special choice of γ_p .

Relating to the conformal compactification $\mathcal{R} = (R, \chi, \iota)$ of (R_0, χ_0) we define the ideal boundary of R_0 as the topological boundary of the set $\iota(R_0) \subset R$, i.e.

$$\partial_{\mathcal{R}} R_0 := \overline{\iota(R_0)} \setminus \iota(R_0).$$

The set $R \setminus \iota(R_0)$ consists, by the assumption on R_0 and the compactness of R , of finitely many components B_R^1, \dots, B_R^n . Now we consider another conformal compactification S instead of R , which gives the components B_S^1, \dots, B_S^n . Then, by means of pairwise disjoint, simple closed curves on R_0 whose images under ι_R resp. ι_S separate the components B_R^j on R as well as B_S^j on S , we get a one-to-one correspondence of the sets B_R^j and B_S^j for $j = 1, \dots, n$. In this sense we can speak of the n components B^1, \dots, B^n (with respect to some fixed denumeration) of the ideal boundary $\partial_{\mathcal{R}} R_0$ independently of R . Moreover, let

$$\Delta_{\mathcal{R}} R_0 := \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(\partial_{\mathcal{R}} R_0) \text{ as well as } \Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^j R_0 := \Phi_{\mathcal{R}}(\partial B_R^j) \quad (j = 1, \dots, n).$$

We denote by $d_{\mathcal{R}}(M)$ the diameter of a subset M of $\text{Jac}(R, \chi)$ with respect to the canonically induced metric of \mathbb{C}^g .

2. Universal bounds.

Theorem 1. *Let (R_0, χ_0) denote a non compact, finitely connected, marked Riemann surface of finite genus $g > 0$ with the ideal boundary components B^1, \dots, B^n (defined as above). Then there exist numbers c_j, C_j ($j = 1, \dots, n$) such that*

$$c_j \leq d_{\mathcal{R}}(\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^j R_0) \leq C_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)$$

for all conformal compactifications $\mathcal{R} = (R, \chi, \iota)$ of (R_0, χ_0) . Each lower bound c_j can be taken strictly positive except for the case where $B_R^j \subset R$ is a singleton for some (and thus for all) conformal compactification of (R_0, χ_0) .

In the proof we will need the following

Lemma. *Let Ω denote a doubly connected domain in the complex plane, bounded by the piecewise smooth Jordan curves Γ_1, Γ_2 . For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ let some complex-valued function f_m , continuous on $\overline{\Omega}$ and holomorphic on Ω be given. We assume that the sequence f_m is uniformly bounded on Ω and tends to some constant c uniformly on Γ_2 .*

Let f denote the limit function of some locally convergent subsequence of f_m on Ω . Then $f \equiv c$ on Ω or Γ_2 consists of a single point.

Proof. We assume that the cycle $\Gamma := \Gamma_1 - \Gamma_2$ represents a positively oriented parametrization of $\partial\Omega$, where the boundary of the unbounded component C_1 of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega = C_1 \cup C_2$ is given by Γ_1 . By Cauchy’s formula we have for $m \in \mathbb{N}, z \in \Omega$

$$\begin{aligned} f_m(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{f_m(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{f_m(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_2} \frac{f_m(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta \\ &=: g_m^1(z) - g_m^2(z). \end{aligned}$$

Each function g_m^1 admits an analytic continuation on $I(\Gamma_1) := \Omega \cup C_2$. Because Γ_1 has winding number 1 with respect to the points on Γ_2 and $f_m \rightarrow c$ uniformly on Γ_2 we have $g_m^1 \rightarrow c$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ on this this contour. The functions g_m^1 are uniformly bounded on $I(\Gamma_1)$. By Montel’s theorem we may assume that the sequence g_m^1 is locally uniformly convergent on $I(\Gamma_1)$. The limit function g is obviously an analytic continuation of $f = \lim f_m$ on $I(\Gamma_1)$. But we have just proved $g \equiv c$ on Γ_2 . So, if Γ_2 is a continuum, we conclude $g \equiv c$ on $I(\Gamma_1)$, and thus $f \equiv c$ on Ω . \square

Now we are ready to give the proof of Theorem 1.

According to [4, Satz 2] there exists some C with $d_{\mathcal{R}}(\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}R_0) \leq C$ simultaneously for all conformal compactifications $\mathcal{R} = (R, \chi, \iota)$ of (R_0, χ_0) .

Since $\Delta_{\mathcal{R}}^j R_0 \subset \Delta_{\mathcal{R}} R_0$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$), we get the existence of the upper bounds C_j already by the mentioned result in [4].

Now we fix some $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and assume that there is no strictly positive lower bound c_j . This means, there exists some sequence of conformal compactifications $\mathcal{R}_m = (R_m, \chi_m, \iota_m)$ of (R_0, χ_0) in the described sense with the property

$$(2) \quad d_{\mathcal{R}_m}(\Delta_{\mathcal{R}_m}^j R_0) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the Riemann surface $R_m^j := R_m \setminus B_{R_m}^j$ we can find some domain Λ_m^0 with the following properties:

- (i) Λ_m^0 has genus g ,
- (ii) $B_{R_m}^\mu \subset \Lambda_m^0$ for $\mu = 1, \dots, j - 1, j + 1, \dots, n$,
- (iii) $\partial\Lambda_m^0$ can be parametrized as a Jordan curve ω_m^0 on R_m^j .

In $R_m^j \setminus \overline{\Lambda_m^0}$ we fix another Jordan curve ω_m^1 , homotopic to ω_m^0 on R_m^j . By A_m we denote the domain bounded by these curves and let $\Lambda_m^1 := \overline{\Lambda_m^0} \cup A_m$. As proved (with slight modifications) in [4], p.42, the following estimate is valid:

$$(3) \quad d_{R_m}(\Phi_{\mathcal{R}_m}(R_m \setminus \Lambda_m^1) \leq B,$$

where B depends only on A_m and the periods $\tau_{\nu\nu}$. Note that we can give the conformal annulus A_m via ι_m by the curves $C_0 := \iota^{-1}(\omega_m^0)$ and $C_1 := \iota^{-1}(\omega_m^1)$ on R_0 as well as on R_m . Thus B is determined by considerations purely on the Riemann surface R_0 and we may assume that the boundary curves C_0, C_1 are the same for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that (3) can also be expressed as:

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\text{The variation of } \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}_m} \circ \iota_m (m \in \mathbb{N}) \text{ on } M_m := R_m \setminus \Lambda_m^1 \\ &\text{is uniformly bounded.} \end{aligned}$$

The set M_m is, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a simply connected domain. We may assume that for all m the starting point p_m^0 of the contours in the definition of $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}_m}$ belongs to M_m and also that for each $p \in M_m$ the contour γ_p is a curve in M_m . Moreover, we take $p_m^0 = \iota_m(p_0)$ where p_0 is some fixed point on R_0 . By the monodromy theorem the value $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}_m}(p)$ for $p \in M_m$ comes out to be independent of the special choice of the contours γ_p .

The set $H := \iota_m^{-1}(M_m \cap \iota_m(R_0))$ is a planar domain on R_0 and does not depend on m .

Let $G \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain bounded by Jordan curves which admits a conformal map θ of G onto H . It follows from our construction that the boundary of G consists of *two* components. One of them, which we denote by Γ_1 , corresponds under θ to the Jordan curve C_1 on R_0 , the other one, Γ_2 , to the ideal boundary component B^j of R_0 .

The functions $f_m := \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}_m} \circ \iota_m \circ \theta$ map G holomorphically in \mathbb{C}^g and have a continuous extension on Γ_1 and Γ_2 . From (2) we know that the sequence f_m tends on Γ_2 uniformly to some constant. The functions f_m are uniformly bounded on G , as follows from (4) and the normalization

$$f_m(\theta^{-1}(p_0)) = \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}_m}(\iota_m(p_0)) = \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}_m}(p_m^0) = 0.$$

We apply Montel's theorem to the coordinate functions of f_m and may assume that the sequence f_m itself is locally convergent on G . By our Lemma we see that the limit function f is constant, or Γ_2 consists of a single point.

But the first case cannot happen: the canonical lifting of the function f_m on $H \subset R_0$ is given by $F_m := \tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}_m} \circ \iota_m$ and has an unrestricted analytic

continuation on R_0 along every curve on R_0 starting in H . This defines an analytic element \tilde{F}_m on R_0 . On the universal covering surface Σ_0 of R_0 this element \tilde{F}_m appears as a holomorphic function $F_m^* : \Sigma_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^g$. Let this be done for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By (4) and the definition of the functions $\tilde{\Phi}_{\mathcal{R}_m}$ we see that the functions F_m^* are uniformly bounded on every compact subset of Σ_0 . This shows that the sequence F_m^* tends, locally uniformly on Σ_0 , to a constant as $m \rightarrow \infty$ if the sequence f_m does the same on G . But this contradicts (cf.(1))

$$\int_{a_k} \phi^{(k)} = 1 \quad (k = 1, \dots, g).$$

Thus Γ_2 is a constant curve. By elementary considerations we see that in this case $B_R^j \subset R$ must be a singleton for *all* conformal compactifications of R_0 in the described sense. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Ahlfors, L. V., *Normalintegrale auf nichtkompakten Riemannschen Flächen*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A-I 35, 1947, pp. 24.
- [2] Constantinescu, C., A. Cornea, *Ideale Ränder Riemannscher Flächen*, Springer, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1963.
- [3] Farkas, H.M., I. Kra, *Riemann Surfaces*, Springer, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1980.
- [4] Schmieder, G., M. Shiba, *Realisierungen des idealen Randes einer Riemannschen Fläche unter konformen Abschließungen*, Arch. Math. **68** (1997), 36–44.

Fachbereich Mathematik
 Universität Oldenburg
 Postfach 2503
 D-26111 Oldenburg, Bundesrepublik Deutschland
 e-mail: schmieder@mathematik.uni-oldenburg.de

received October 2, 1998

Applied Mathematics Institute
 Hiroshima University
 Higashi-Hiroshima 724, Japan
 e-mail: shiba@puramis.amath.hiroshima-u.ac.jp