

MILENA BIENIEK and DOMINIK SZYNAL

Note on random partitions of the segment

ABSTRACT. Let (X_n) be a sequence of independent random variables uniformly distributed on the interval $[0, 1]$. R_n stands for the diameter of the partition of $[0, 1]$ by the random points X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1} . It was shown by R. Jajte that the sequence $(nR_n/\log n)$ converges to 1 in probability. We prove the convergence in p -th mean, $p > 0$, of the sequence $(nR_n/\log n)$ to 1. We are also interested in the rate of convergence in probability of this sequence. Almost sure convergence of $(nR_n/\log n)$ to 1 is also obtained.

1. Introduction. Let (X_n) be a sequence of independent random variables uniformly distributed on the interval $[0, 1]$ and let R_n stand for the diameter of the partition of $[0, 1]$ by the random points X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{n-1} . The distribution of R_n is presented in [3]. It is easily seen that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} R_n = 0$ with probability 1, but it gives no information about the asymptotic behaviour of the sequence (nR_n) . It is shown in [5] by the Laplace transform technique that the sequence $(nR_n/\log n)$ converges in probability to 1.

We prove that the sequence $(nR_n/\log n)$ converges to 1 in mean of order p , $p > 0$. Hence we estimate the rate of convergence in probability of this sequence. Moreover, we show that the sequence $(nR_n/\log n)$ converges almost surely to 1.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60F15, 60F25.

Key words and phrases. Random partition, diameter, homogenous product sum symmetric function, cycle indicator, harmonic numbers.

2. Preliminaries. We start with some moment properties of the diameter R_n . It is known (cf. [5]) that the r -th moment of R_n is equal to

$$(1) \quad ER_n^r = \frac{r!}{n^{(r)}} \gamma_n^r,$$

where

$$\gamma_n^r = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k-1} \binom{n}{k} k^{-r}$$

and $x^{(r)}$ (the notation from [7]) denotes the rising factorial, i.e.

$$x^{(r)} = x(x+1) \dots (x+r-1).$$

In [5] it was also shown that the quantity γ_n^r can be written as

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma_n^1 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i}, \\ \gamma_n^r &= \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r \leq n} \frac{1}{i_1 \cdot \dots \cdot i_r}, \quad r = 2, 3, \dots \end{aligned}$$

The numbers γ_n^r in (2) are inconvenient for evaluations, so we represent them in a different form.

Define $a_r \equiv a_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $r = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the *elementary symmetric function* of weight r , and $h_r \equiv h_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$, the so-called *homogeneous product sum symmetric function* of weight r (cf. [6], pp. 47, 93) by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} &1/(1 - \alpha_1 x)(1 - \alpha_2 x)(1 - \alpha_3 x) \dots (1 - \alpha_n x) \\ &= 1/(1 - a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \dots + (-1)^n a_n x^n) \\ &= 1 + h_1 x + h_2 x^2 + \dots + h_r x^r + \dots \end{aligned}$$

For instance

$$\begin{aligned} a_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ a_2(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= \alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_1(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \\ h_2(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= \alpha_1^2 + \alpha_2^2 + \dots + \alpha_n^2 + (\alpha_1 \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n). \end{aligned}$$

The generating function of the sequence $\{\gamma_n^r, r \geq 0\}$ in (2) has the form

$$G_n(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n^r z^r = \frac{1}{(1-z)(1-\frac{z}{2}) \dots (1-\frac{z}{n})} \quad (\text{cf. [4]}).$$

Thus, we see that

$$(3) \quad \gamma_n^r = h_r\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

It is known that the *homogeneous product sum symmetric function* $h_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ satisfies

$$(4) \quad r!h_r(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = C_r(s_1, \dots, s_r), \quad (\text{cf. [6], p. 119}),$$

where s_i denotes the so-called *power sum symmetric function* given by

$$(5) \quad s_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j^i,$$

and C_r is the so-called *cycle indicator* of the symmetric group defined by

$$(6) \quad C_r(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \sum_{a_1+2a_2+\dots+ra_r=r} (r; a_1, \dots, a_r)^* s_1^{a_1} \dots s_r^{a_r},$$

(cf. [6], p. 68), with the notation from [1]

$$(7) \quad (r; a_1, \dots, a_r)^* = \frac{r!}{1^{a_1} a_1! 2^{a_2} a_2! \dots r^{a_r} a_r!}.$$

The sum in (6) is over all non-negative integer values of $a_i, 1 \leq i \leq r$, such that $a_1 + 2a_2 + \dots + ra_r = r$, or equivalently, over all partitions of n . For instance

$$\begin{aligned} C_1(s_1) &= s_1 \\ C_2(s_1, s_2) &= s_1^2 + s_2 \\ C_3(s_1, s_2, s_3) &= s_1^3 + 3s_1s_2 + 2s_3 \\ C_4(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4) &= s_1^4 + 6s_1^2s_2 + 3s_2^2 + 8s_1s_3 + 6s_4 \end{aligned}$$

(cf. [6], the table on p. 69).

Letting in (5)

$$\alpha_j = \frac{1}{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

we write s_r , $r \geq 1$, as the harmonic number of order r

$$(8) \quad H_n^{(r)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^r}, \quad r \geq 1, \quad (\text{cf. [4]}).$$

We are interested in positive integer values of r in (8). If $r = 1$ then

$$(9) \quad \log n < H_n^{(1)} \leq \log n + 1, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and for $r \geq 2$ we use the notation of the Riemann's ζ -function

$$\zeta(r) = H_\infty^{(r)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{i^r}.$$

Combining (3), (4), (5) and (6) we deduce that the quantity γ_n^r can be written as

$$(10) \quad \gamma_n^r = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{a_1+2a_2+\dots+ra_r=r} (r; a_1, \dots, a_r)^* \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{a_1} \dots \left(H_n^{(r)}\right)^{a_r}.$$

The following recurrence relation for γ_n^r permits us to derive the recurrence formula for the moments of R_n .

Lemma 1. *The numbers $\{\gamma_n^r, r \geq 0\}$ satisfy the recurrence equation*

$$(11) \quad \gamma_n^{r+1} = \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{j=0}^r H_n^{(j+1)} \gamma_n^{r-j}, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and $\gamma_n^0 = 1$.

Proof. Knowing that the generating function of the sequence $\{\gamma_n^r, r \geq 0\}$ is

$$G_n(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n^r z^r = \frac{1}{(1-z) \left(1 - \frac{z}{2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{z}{n}\right)},$$

we have

$$G_n'(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (r+1) \gamma_n^{r+1} z^r.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G_n'(z)}{G_n(z)} &= \frac{d}{dz} \log G_n(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{z}{i}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{z}{i}\right)^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H_n^{(j+1)} z^j. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$G'_n(z) = G_n(z) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} H_n^{(j+1)} z^j ,$$

or

$$\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} (r+1) \gamma_n^{r+1} z^r = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^r H_n^{(j+1)} \gamma_n^{r-j} z^r .$$

From this equality we conclude that (11) holds. \square

Now putting (10) into (1) we get

$$(12) \quad ER_n^r = \frac{1}{n^{(r)}} \sum_{a_1+2a_2+\dots+ra_r=r} (r; a_1, \dots, a_r)^* \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{a_1} \dots \left(H_n^{(r)}\right)^{a_r} .$$

The recurrence relation for ER_n^r is given by

Proposition 1. *The moments ER_n^r satisfy the following recurrence relation*

$$(13) \quad ER_n^{r+1} = \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{r!}{(r-j)!} \frac{1}{(n+r-j)^{(j+1)}} H_n^{(j+1)} ER_n^{r-j}, \quad r = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$ER_n = \frac{1}{n} H_n^{(1)} .$$

Proof. From (1) and (11) we have

$$\begin{aligned} ER_n^{r+1} &= \frac{(r+1)! \gamma_n^{r+1}}{n^{(r+1)}} \\ &= \frac{r!}{n^{(r+1)}} \sum_{j=0}^r H_n^{(j+1)} \gamma_n^{r-j} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{r!}{(r-j)!} \frac{1}{(n+r-j)^{(j+1)}} H_n^{(j+1)} ER_n^{r-j} \end{aligned}$$

which gives (13). \square

3. L_p -convergence. We see that by (1)

$$E \left(\frac{nR_n}{\log n} \right) = \frac{H_n^{(1)}}{\log n} .$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (9) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left(\frac{nR_n}{\log n} \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{H_n^{(1)}}{\log n} = 1 .$$

Now, taking into account that $E \left(\frac{nR_n}{\log n} \right) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it is sufficient to estimate $E \left(\frac{nR_n}{\log n} - \frac{nER_n}{\log n} \right)^{2k}$.

Proposition 2. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and sufficiently large n

$$(14) \quad E(R_n - ER_n)^{2k} \leq \frac{C(k)}{n^{2k}},$$

where

$$(15) \quad C(k) = \sum_{p=0}^{2k} \sum_{2a_2+\dots+pa_p=p} \frac{(2k)!}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots p^{a_p} a_p!} \zeta^{a_2}(2) \dots \zeta^{a_p}(p).$$

Proof. By the binomial formula

$$E(R_n - ER_n)^{2k} = \sum_{r=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{r} (-1)^{2k-r} ER_n^r (ER_n)^{2k-r}.$$

Hence by (12)

$$\begin{aligned} E(R_n - ER_n)^{2k} &= \sum_{r=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{r} (-1)^{2k-r} \frac{1}{n^{(r)}} \\ &\times \sum_{a_1+2a_2+\dots+ra_r=r} (r; a_1, \dots, a_r)^* \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{a_1} \dots \left(H_n^{(r)}\right)^{a_r} \frac{1}{n^{2k-r}} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{2k-r}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, taking the sum with respect to a_1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(R_n - ER_n)^{2k} &= \frac{1}{n^{2k}} \sum_{r=0}^{2k} \binom{2k}{r} (-1)^{2k-r} \frac{n^r}{n^{(r)}} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{2k-r} \\ &\times \sum_{p=0}^r \sum_{p+2a_2+\dots+ra_r=r} (r; p, \dots, a_r)^* \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^p \dots \left(H_n^{(r)}\right)^{a_r}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the identity

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2k} \sum_{p=0}^r a(r, p) = \sum_{p=0}^{2k} \sum_{r=p}^{2k} a(r, r-p)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(R_n - ER_n)^{2k} &= \frac{1}{n^{2k}} \sum_{p=0}^{2k} \sum_{r=p}^{2k} \binom{2k}{r} (-1)^{2k-r} \frac{n^r}{n^{(r)}} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{2k-r} \\ &\times \sum_{r-p+2a_2+\dots+ra_r=r} (r; r-p, \dots, a_r)^* \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{r-p} \dots \left(H_n^{(r)}\right)^{a_r} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{2k}} \sum_{p=0}^{2k} \sum_{r=p}^{2k} \binom{2k}{r} (-1)^{2k-r} \frac{n^r}{n^{(r)}} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{2k-r} \\ &\times \sum_{2a_2+\dots+ra_r=p} (r; r-p, \dots, a_r)^* \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{r-p} \dots \left(H_n^{(r)}\right)^{a_r}. \end{aligned}$$

The sum

$$\sum_{2a_2+\dots+ra_r=p} (r; r-p, \dots, a_r)^* \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{r-p} \dots \left(H_n^{(r)}\right)^{a_r}$$

can be written as

$$\sum_{2a_2+\dots+pa_p=p} (r; r-p, \dots, a_p)^* \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{r-p} \dots \left(H_n^{(p)}\right)^{a_p}$$

as $a_{p+1} = \dots = a_r = 0$ and by (7)

$$\begin{aligned} (r; r-p, \dots, a_r)^* &= (r; r-p, \dots, a_p, \underbrace{0, \dots, 0}_{r-p})^* \\ &= \frac{r!}{(r-p)! 2^{a_2} a_2! \dots p^{a_p} a_p!} \\ &= (r; r-p, \dots, a_p)^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} E(R_n - ER_n)^{2k} &= \frac{1}{n^{2k}} \sum_{p=0}^{2k} \sum_{r=p}^{2k} \binom{2k}{r} (-1)^{2k-r} \frac{n^r}{n^{(r)}} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{2k-p} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{2a_2+\dots+pa_p=p} (r; r-p, \dots, a_p)^* \left(H_n^{(2)}\right)^{a_2} \dots \left(H_n^{(p)}\right)^{a_p} \\ &= \frac{1}{n^{2k}} \sum_{p=0}^{2k-1} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{2k-p} \sum_{2a_2+\dots+pa_p=p} \frac{1}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots p^{a_p} a_p!} \left(H_n^{(2)}\right)^{a_2} \dots \left(H_n^{(p)}\right)^{a_p} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{r=p}^{2k} \binom{2k}{r} (-1)^{2k-r} \frac{r!}{(r-p)!} \frac{n^r}{n^{(r)}} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n^{(2k)}} \sum_{2a_2+\dots+2ka_{2k}=2k} \frac{(2k)!}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots (2k)^{a_{2k}} a_{2k}!} \left(H_n^{(2)}\right)^{a_2} \dots \left(H_n^{(2k)}\right)^{a_{2k}} \\ &:= A(n) + B(n), \end{aligned}$$

say, where

$$\begin{aligned} A(n) &= \frac{1}{n^{2k}} \sum_{p=0}^{2k-1} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{2k-p} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{2a_2+\dots+pa_p=p} \frac{1}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots p^{a_p} a_p!} \left(H_n^{(2)}\right)^{a_2} \dots \left(H_n^{(p)}\right)^{a_p} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{r=p}^{2k} \binom{2k}{r} (-1)^{2k-r} \frac{r!}{(r-p)!} \frac{n^r}{n^{(r)}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$B(n) = \frac{1}{n^{(2k)}} \sum_{2a_2 + \dots + 2ka_{2k} = 2k} \frac{(2k)!}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots (2k)^{a_{2k}} a_{2k}!} \left(H_n^{(2)}\right)^{a_2} \dots \left(H_n^{(2k)}\right)^{a_{2k}}.$$

Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{r=p}^{2k} \binom{2k}{r} (-1)^{2k-r} \frac{r!}{(r-p)!} \frac{n^r}{n^{(r)}} \\ &= \frac{(2k)!}{(2k-p)!} \frac{n^p}{n^{(2k)}} \sum_{r=0}^{2k-p} \binom{2k-p}{r} (-1)^{2k-p-r} n^r (n+r+p)^{(2k-r-p)}, \end{aligned}$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} A(n) &= \frac{1}{n^{2k}} \sum_{p=0}^{2k-1} \frac{n^p}{n^{(2k)}} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{2k-p} \frac{a(n)}{(2k-p)!} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{2a_2 + \dots + pa_p = p} \frac{(2k)!}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots p^{a_p} a_p!} \left(H_n^{(2)}\right)^{a_2} \dots \left(H_n^{(p)}\right)^{a_p}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a(n) := \sum_{r=0}^{2k-p} \binom{2k-p}{r} (-1)^{2k-p-r} n^r (n+r+p)^{(2k-r-p)}.$$

But the order of the quantity $a(n)$ is less than or equal to n^{2k-p-1} since the coefficient of n^{2k-p} in $a(n)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{r=0}^{2k-p} \binom{2k-p}{r} (-1)^{2k-p-r} = 0.$$

Thus $|a(n)| \leq c(p)n^{2k-p-1}$, where $c(p)$ is a positive constant independent of n . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} n^{2k} |A(n)| &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{2k-1} \frac{1}{n} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^{2k-p} \frac{c(p)}{(2k-p)!} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{2a_2 + \dots + pa_p = p} \frac{(2k)!}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots p^{a_p} a_p!} \zeta(2)^{a_2} \dots \zeta(p)^{a_p} \\ &\leq \sum_{p=0}^{2k-1} \frac{(\log n + 1)^{2k-p}}{n} \frac{c(p)}{(2k-p)!} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{2a_2 + \dots + pa_p = p} \frac{(2k)!}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots p^{a_p} a_p!} \zeta(2)^{a_2} \dots \zeta(p)^{a_p}, \end{aligned}$$

as $H_n^{(1)}$ satisfies (9).

Then we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k} A(n) = 0,$$

so for n sufficiently large

$$(16) \quad n^{2k} |A(n)| \leq \sum_{p=0}^{2k-1} \sum_{2a_2 + \dots + pa_p = p} \frac{(2k)!}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots p^{a_p} a_p!} \zeta(2)^{a_2} \dots \zeta(p)^{a_p}.$$

Moreover, we conclude that

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{2k} B(n) \\ &= \sum_{2a_2 + \dots + 2ka_{2k} = 2k} \frac{(2k)!}{2^{a_2} a_2! \dots (2k)^{a_{2k}} a_{2k}!} \zeta^{a_2}(2) \dots \zeta^{a_{2k}}(2k). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by (16) and (17) we obtain (14). \square

Remark 1. The properties of the moments of R_n allow us to give estimates in the cases $k = 1$ and $k = 2$ valid for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Namely, we have

$$(18) \quad \sigma^2 R_n \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6n^2}$$

and

$$(19) \quad E(R_n - ER_n)^4 \leq \frac{3}{n^4} \left(\frac{16}{e^2} + \frac{\pi^4}{20} \right),$$

respectively, $e = 2, 71 \dots$.

Proof. For the variance of R_n we have

$$\sigma^2 R_n = ER_n^2 - (ER_n)^2.$$

Using the recurrence relation for ER_n^r and (12) we get

$$\sigma^2 R_n = \frac{1}{n(n+1)} H_n^{(2)} - \frac{1}{n^2(n+1)} \left(H_n^{(1)} \right)^2 \leq \frac{\zeta(2)}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6n^2}.$$

To prove the second inequality we also use the recurrence relation for ER_n^r and formula (12). By the binomial formula it follows

$$E(R_n - ER_n)^4 = \sum_{r=0}^4 \binom{4}{r} (-1)^{4-r} ER_n^r (ER_n)^{4-r}.$$

Using the formula for the r -th moment of R_n we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(R_n - ER_n)^4 &\leq \frac{3n}{n^4(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} \left(H_n^{(1)}\right)^4 \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} \left(H_n^{(2)}\right)^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{6}{n(n+1)(n+2)(n+3)} H_n^{(4)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by (9)

$$n^4 E(R_n - ER_n)^4 \leq 3 \left(\frac{(\log n + 1)^4}{n^2} + \zeta^2(2) + 2\zeta(4) \right).$$

The function $f(x) = \frac{(\log x + 1)^4}{x^2}$, $x > 1$, attains the maximum value $\frac{16}{e^2}$ for $x = e$. Moreover, note that $\zeta(2) = \frac{\pi^2}{6}$ and $\zeta(4) = \frac{\pi^4}{90}$, which immediately yields the desired result. \square

The following theorem is an easy consequence of Proposition 2.

Theorem 1. For $p > 0$

$$\frac{nR_n}{\log n} \xrightarrow{L_p} 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Markov's inequality and Proposition 2 we get the rate of convergence in probability of the sequence $(nR_n/\log n)$ to 1 stated in [5].

Theorem 2. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(20) \quad P \left[\left| \frac{nR_n}{\log n} - 1 \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{C(k)}{\varepsilon^{2k} \log^{2k} n},$$

for sufficiently large n , where $C(k)$ is given by (15).

Proof. From Markov's inequality it follows that

$$(21) \quad P \left[\left| \frac{nR_n}{\log n} - \frac{nER_n}{\log n} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{n^{2k}}{\varepsilon^{2k} \log^{2k} n} E (R_n - ER_n)^{2k}.$$

Hence by (21) and (14) we immediately get (20). \square

Remark 2. Using Remark 1 we have

$$P \left[\left| \frac{nR_n}{\log n} - \frac{nER_n}{\log n} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{\pi^2}{6\varepsilon^2 \log^2 n}$$

and

$$P \left[\left| \frac{nR_n}{\log n} - \frac{nER_n}{\log n} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq \frac{3}{\varepsilon^4 \log^4 n} \left(\frac{\pi^4}{20} + \frac{16}{e^2} \right).$$

Remark 3. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} P \left[\left| \frac{nR_n}{\log n} - \frac{nER_n}{\log n} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] \leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n \log^{2k} n} < \infty,$$

where C is a positive constant not depending on n .

4. Almost sure convergence. Following an idea of Etemadi (cf. [2]) we prove that the sequence $(nR_n/\log n)$ converges to unity almost surely.

Theorem 3.

$$(22) \quad \frac{nR_n}{\log n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, $\alpha > 1$ and $m_n = \lceil \alpha^n \rceil$ for $n \geq 1$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \lceil x \rceil &= \text{the smallest integer greater than or equal to } x \\ &\text{(the notation from [4]),} \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the ceiling function of x . In what follows, C denotes a finite positive constant that can vary from step to step.

Then using Theorem 2, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \left[\left| \frac{m_n R_{m_n}}{\log m_n} - \frac{m_n E R_{m_n}}{\log m_n} \right| \geq \varepsilon \right] &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\log^{2k} m_n} \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2k}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The Borel-Cantelli lemma implies

$$\frac{m_n R_{m_n}}{\log m_n} \xrightarrow{a.s.} 1, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Let $p(n)$ be such that $m_{p(n)} \leq n < m_{p(n)+1}$, for $n \geq 1$. Since R_n as a function n is non-increasing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{nR_n}{\log n} &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{p(n)+1} R_{m_{p(n)+1}}}{\log m_{p(n)+1}} \frac{m_{p(n)}}{m_{p(n)+1}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\alpha} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{p(n)+1} R_{m_{p(n)+1}}}{\log m_{p(n)+1}} = \frac{1}{\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can get an analogous relation for the upper limit, namely

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{nR_n}{\log n} &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{p(n)}R_{m_{p(n)}}}{\log m_{p(n)}} \frac{m_{p(n)+1}}{m_{p(n)}} \\ &\leq \alpha \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{p(n)}R_{m_{p(n)}}}{\log m_{p(n)}} = \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha > 1$ was arbitrary, letting $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ we obtain (22). \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Abramowitz, M., I.A. Stegun,, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables*, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1964.
- [2] Etemadi, N., *An elementary proof of the strong law of large numbers*, Z. Wahrsch. verw. Gebiete. **55** (1981), 119–122.
- [3] Feller, W., *An Introduction to Probability Theory and Its Applications*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1966.
- [4] Graham, R.L., D.E. Knuth and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company Advanced Book Program, Reading, MA, 1989.
- [5] Jajte, R., *On random partitions of the segment*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **19** (1971), 231–233.
- [6] Riordan, J., *An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1958.
- [7] Riordan, J., *Combinatorial Identities*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1968.

Zakład Statystyki i Ekonometrii
 Wydział Ekonomiczny UMCS
 pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 5
 20-031 Lublin, Poland
 e-mail: milena@ramzes.umcs.lublin.pl

Instytut Matematyki UMCS
 pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1
 20-031 Lublin, Poland
 e-mail: szynal@golem.umcs.lublin.pl

Received May 7, 2003