

MONIKA BUDZYŃSKA and TADEUSZ KUCZUMOW

**A structure of common fixed point sets  
of commuting holomorphic mappings  
in finite powers of domains**

ABSTRACT. In this paper we consider bounded convex domains  $D$  in complex reflexive Banach spaces which are locally uniformly linearly convex in the Kobayashi distance  $k_D$ . We show that nonempty common fixed point sets of commuting holomorphic mappings in finite powers of these kind of domains are holomorphic retracts.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper we apply the notion of a uniform linear convexity of the Kobayashi distance to obtain holomorphic retracts in the finite Cartesian product of bounded convex domains.

**2. Basic properties of the Kobayashi distance.** Throughout this paper all Banach spaces  $X$  will be complex and all domains  $D \subset X$  will be bounded and convex.

Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . In  $D$  we have the Kobayashi distance (in fact, this is a definition of the

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32A10, 46G20, 47H09, 47H10.

*Key words and phrases.* Fixed points, holomorphic mappings,  $k_D$ -nonexpansive mappings, retracts.

Lempert function  $\delta$  [32] – see also [12], [22])

$$\begin{aligned} k_D(x, y) &= \delta_D(x, y) \\ &= \inf \{k_\Delta(0, \gamma) : \text{there exists } f \in H(\Delta, D) \\ &\quad \text{such that } f(0) = x \text{ and } f(\gamma) = y\} \end{aligned}$$

[25], [26]. The Kobayashi distance  $k_D$  is always locally equivalent to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . If  $x, y, w, z \in D$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$k_D(sx + (1-s)y, sw + (1-s)z) \leq \max\{k_D(x, w), k_D(y, z)\}.$$

Hence each open (closed)  $k_D$ -ball in the metric space  $(D, k_D)$  is convex [31]. Next, there is the following connection between the Kobayashi distance and the weak topology in a reflexive Banach space  $X$ : if  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in I}$  and  $\{y_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in I}$  are nets in  $D$  which are weakly convergent to  $x$  and  $y$  respectively,  $x, y \in D$ , then

$$k_D(x, y) \leq \liminf_\lambda k_D(x_\lambda, y_\lambda),$$

i.e., the Kobayashi distance is lower semicontinuous with respect to the weak topology in  $X$  [28] (see also [9], [23]).

Let us observe that, if  $D_j$  is a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $(X_j, \|\cdot\|_j)$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , and  $X = \prod_{j=1}^n X_j$  is a finite Cartesian product of  $X_j$  with the maximum norm, then

$$k_{\prod_{j=1}^n D_j}(x, y) = \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} k_{D_j}(x_j, y_j)$$

for all  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \prod_{j=1}^n D_j$  [20].

We will use the standard definition of strict convexity. A point  $x$  on the boundary of a bounded convex set  $D \subset X$  is called a real extreme point if  $\{x + ty \in D : -1 \leq t \leq 1\} \subset \overline{D}$  implies  $y = 0$ . If each boundary point of a bounded convex domain  $D$  is an extreme point, then  $D$  is called a strictly convex domain.

If  $D$  is strictly convex, then we can say more about linear convexity of balls in  $(D, k_D)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** [7], [34], [35] (see also [33]). *If  $D$  is a strictly convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$ , then each  $k_D$ -ball is also strictly convex in a linear sense.*

**Remark 2.1.** More information about strict convexity in a linear sense of  $k_D$ -balls can be found in [4] and [9].

Recently, the first author introduced the notion of local uniform linear convexity of the domain  $D$  with respect to the Kobayashi distance [4] (earlier, a similar notion in the Hilbert ball  $B_H$  was considered by the second

author [27]) who gave examples of such domains and applications of these domains in the fixed point theory of holomorphic mappings (see [4], [5], [6], [8], [35]).

**Definition 2.1.** [5]. Let  $D$  be a bounded and convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . The metric space  $(D, k_D)$  is said to be a locally uniformly linearly convex space, if there exist  $w \in D$  and the function

$$\delta(w, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$$

such that for all  $x, y \in D$ ,  $R_1 > 0$ ,  $z \in D$  with  $k_D(w, z) \leq R_1$ ,  $0 < R_2 \leq R \leq R_3$ , and  $0 < \epsilon_1 \leq \epsilon \leq \epsilon_2 < 2$  we have

$$\delta(w, R_1, R_2, R_3, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) > 0$$

and

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} lk_D(z, x) \leq R \\ k_D(z, y) \leq R \\ k_D(x, y) = \epsilon R \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow k_D\left(z, \frac{1}{2}x + \frac{1}{2}y\right) \leq (1 - \delta(w, R_1, R_2, R_3, \epsilon_1, \epsilon_2))R.$$

The function  $\delta(w, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$  is called a modulus of linear convexity for the Kobayashi distance  $k_D$ .

Now we recall the notion of an asymptotic center [14]. Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$ ,  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  a  $k_D$ -bounded net in  $D$  and  $C$  a nonempty,  $k_D$ -closed and convex subset of  $D$ . Consider the functional

$$r(\cdot, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) : D \rightarrow [0, \infty)$$

defined by

$$r(x, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) = \limsup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} k_D(x, x_\lambda).$$

A point  $z$  in  $C$  is said to be an asymptotic center of the net  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  with respect to  $C$  if

$$r(z, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) : x \in C\}.$$

The infimum of  $r(\cdot, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$  over  $C$  is called an asymptotic radius of  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  with respect to  $C$  and denoted by  $r(C, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$ . Let us observe that the function  $r(\cdot, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda})$  is quasi-convex, i.e.,

$$r((1-t)x + ty, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}) \leq \max(r(x, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}), r(y, \{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}))$$

for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $D$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ .

It is easy to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.2.** [4], [8]. *Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$  such that the metric space  $(D, k_D)$  is locally linearly uniformly convex. Then each  $k_D$ -bounded net  $\{x_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $D$  has a unique asymptotic center with respect to any nonempty,  $k_D$ -closed and convex subset  $C$  of  $D$ .*

**3. Holomorphic mappings and  $k_D$ -nonexpansive mappings.** In this section we recall basic properties of holomorphic mappings and  $k_D$ -nonexpansive mappings.

Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$  and  $C$  a nonempty and  $k_D$ -closed subset of  $D$ . We say that a mapping  $f : C \rightarrow C$  is  $k_D$ -nonexpansive if

$$k_D(f(x), f(y)) \leq k_D(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in C$  [17]. Each holomorphic self-mapping  $f : D \rightarrow D$  is  $k_D$ -nonexpansive ([11], [16]). We also have the following useful property of such mappings.

**Proposition 3.1..** [10], [21], [28], [30]. *Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . If  $\{f_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a net of  $k_D$ -nonexpansive (holomorphic) self-mappings of  $D$  which is weakly pointwise convergent to a mapping  $f : D \rightarrow D$ , then  $f$  is also  $k_D$ -nonexpansive (holomorphic).*

Now, we recall two important facts about  $k_D$ -nonexpansive self-mappings of bounded convex domains  $D$  in reflexive Banach spaces.

Let  $C$  be a nonempty convex and  $k_D$ -closed subset of  $D$ . If  $f : C \rightarrow C$  is  $k_D$ -nonexpansive, then for each  $0 < t < 1$  and  $a \in C$  the mapping

$$f_{t,a} = (1-t)a + tf$$

is a contraction. Therefore, for each  $x \in C$ , the sequence  $\{f_{t,a}^n(x)\}$  tends to a unique fixed point  $y_{t,a}$  in  $C$ . Additionally, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 1^-} \|y_{t,a} - f_{t,a}(y_{t,a})\| = 0$$

[13].

For a  $k_D$ -nonexpansive  $f : C \rightarrow C$ , we call a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  an approximating sequence if

$$\lim_n k_D(x_n, f(x_n)) = 0.$$

So, we are ready to state the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.2.** [4]. *Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$  such that the metric space  $(D, k_D)$  is locally uniformly linearly convex,  $C$  be a nonempty convex and  $k_D$ -closed subset of  $D$  and let  $f : C \rightarrow C$  be a  $k_D$ -nonexpansive mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$f$  has a fixed point;*
- (ii) *There exists a point  $x$  in  $C$  such that the sequence of iterates  $\{f^n(x)\}$  is  $k_D$ -bounded;*
- (iii) *The sequence of iterates  $\{f^n(x)\}$  is  $k_D$ -bounded for all  $x$  in  $C$ ;*
- (iv) *There exists a  $k_D$ -bounded approximating sequence  $\{x_n\}$  for  $f$ .*

Next we have

**Lemma 3.3.** [7]. *Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space and  $D$  a bounded convex domain in  $X$  such that the metric space  $(D, k_D)$  is strictly convex in a linear sense. If  $f : D \rightarrow D$  is  $k_D$ -nonexpansive and has a fixed point, then  $f$  has a fixed point in each nonempty,  $f$ -invariant,  $k_D$ -closed and convex subset  $C$  of  $D$ .*

Finally, we consider holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) retracts. By using the Bruck method ([1], [2]) we can obtain the following theorem about holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) retracts.

**Theorem 3.4.** [7], [9]. *Let  $D$  be a bounded strictly convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . If  $f : D \rightarrow D$  is  $k_D$ -nonexpansive (holomorphic), then the set  $\text{Fix}(f)$  of fixed points of  $f$  is either empty or a  $k_D$ -nonexpansive (holomorphic) retract of  $D$ .*

For a family of commuting holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) mappings in a locally uniformly linearly convex metric space  $(D, k_D)$  we have a similar result.

**Theorem 3.5.** [6]. *Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . Suppose that the metric space  $(D, k_D)$  is locally uniformly linearly convex. Then, for every family  $\mathcal{F}$  of holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) self-mappings of  $D$  with a nonempty common fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ , this set  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  is a holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) retract of  $D$ .*

One of the main tools in the proof of the above theorem is the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.6.** [6]. *Let  $D$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X$ . Suppose that the metric space  $(D, k_D)$  is locally linearly uniformly convex. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) self-mappings of  $D$  with a nonempty common fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . If a nonempty  $k_D$ -closed convex set  $C \subset D$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant, then  $C \cap \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  is nonempty.*

**4. A common fixed point set of a family of holomorphic mappings in the finite Cartesian product of domains.** We begin this section with the following generalization of Theorem 3.2

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $D_j$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Suppose that each metric space  $(D_j, k_{D_j})$  is locally uniformly linearly convex,  $C$  is a nonempty, convex and  $k_D$ -closed subset of  $D = \prod_{j=1}^n D_j$  and  $f : C \rightarrow C$  is a  $k_D$ -nonexpansive mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f$  has a fixed point;
- (ii) There exists a point  $x$  in  $C$  such that the sequence of iterates  $\{f^m(x)\}$  is  $k_D$ -bounded;
- (iii) The sequence of iterates  $\{f^m(x)\}$  is  $k_D$ -bounded for all  $x$  in  $C$ ;
- (iv) There exists a  $k_D$ -bounded approximating sequence  $\{x_m\}$  for  $f$ .

**Proof.** To prove this theorem it is sufficient to apply the asymptotic center method and the following facts:

1. Each nonempty, closed, convex,  $k_D$ -bounded and  $f$ -invariant subset  $C_0$  of  $C$  contains a  $k_D$ -bounded approximating sequence for  $f$ ;
2. If  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $k_D$ -bounded approximating sequence for  $f$ , then

$$r(f(y), \{x_n\}) \leq r(y, \{x_n\})$$

for each  $y \in C$ ;

3. If  $x \in C$  has the  $k_D$ -bounded sequence of iterates  $\{f^n(x)\}$ , then

$$r(f(y), \{f^n(x)\}) \leq r(y, \{f^n(x)\})$$

for each  $y \in C$ ;

4. By Proposition 2.2 every  $k_D$ -bounded sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $D$  has an asymptotic center with respect to any nonempty,  $k_D$ -closed and convex subset  $C$  of  $D$  and this asymptotic center is equal to  $\prod_{j=1}^n A_j \cap C$ , where each  $A_j$  is nonempty, closed and convex, and at least one of  $A_j$  is a singleton. Hence we can apply Theorem 3.2. and the mathematical induction with respect to  $n$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** Note that in the case of the open unit ball  $B_H$  of a Hilbert space  $H$  an analogous theorem is known [18], [19], [27], [30].

For our next considerations we need the following generalization of Lemma 3.6.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let  $D_j$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Suppose that each metric space  $(D_j, k_{D_j})$  is locally uniformly linearly convex,  $C$  is a nonempty convex and  $k_D$ -closed subset of  $D = \prod_{j=1}^n D_j$ , and  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) self-mappings of  $D$  with a nonempty common fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . If a nonempty  $k_D$ -closed convex set  $C \subset D$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant, then  $C \cap \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  is nonempty.*

**Proof.** For  $n = 1$  see Lemma 3.6. Assume  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $x_0$  be a common fixed point of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $C$  a nonempty,  $\mathcal{F}$ -invariant,  $k_D$ -closed and convex subset of  $D$ . If

$$d = \text{dist}_{k_D}(x_0, C) = \inf_{x \in C} k_D(x_0, x),$$

then the set

$$C_0 = C \cap B_{k_D}(x_0, d+1) = C \cap \{x \in D : k_D(x_0, x) \leq d+1\}$$

is nonempty, convex and weakly compact. Therefore, by the weak lower semicontinuity of the Kobayashi distance  $k_D$  and by the weak compactness of  $k_D$ -balls, there exists a point  $x_1 \in C$  such that

$$k_D(x_0, x_1) = d = \text{dist}_{k_D}(x_0, C).$$

Hence the set

$$\tilde{C} = \{x \in C : k_D(x_0, x) = d = \text{dist}_{k_D}(x_0, C)\}$$

is nonempty and is equal to  $\prod_{j=1}^n A_j \cap \tilde{C}$ , where each  $A_j$  is nonempty, closed and convex, and at least one of  $A_j$  is a singleton. Choose  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ . To get  $f(\tilde{C}) \subset \tilde{C}$  it is sufficient to observe that

$$k_D(x_0, f(x)) = k_D(f(x_0), f(x)) \leq k_D(x_0, x).$$

for every  $x \in \tilde{C}$ . Hence we can apply Lemma 3.6 and the mathematical induction with respect to  $n$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**5. A structure of a common fixed point set of a family of commuting holomorphic mappings.** In this section we state and prove the main theorem of our paper.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $D_j$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $D = \prod_{j=1}^n D_j$ . Suppose that each metric space  $(D_j, k_{D_j})$  is locally uniformly linearly convex. Then for every family  $\mathcal{F}$  of commuting holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) self-mappings of  $D$  with a*

nonempty common fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ , this set  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  is a holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) retract of  $D$ .

**Proof.** We will use the Bruck method [2] (see also [1]). We prove this result only in the holomorphic case. Our metric approach works equally well in the  $k_D$ -nonexpansive case.

Set

$$\mathcal{N} = \{h : h \text{ is a holomorphic self-mapping of } D, \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \text{Fix}(h)\}$$

and choose  $x_0 \in \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . Note that

$$\mathcal{N} \subset \prod_{x \in D} \{y \in D : k_D(y, x_0) \leq k_D(x, x_0)\} = \prod_{x \in D} C_x.$$

If each  $C_x$  is equipped with the weak topology, then each  $C_x$  is weakly compact and by Tychonoff's Theorem ([15], [24]) the set  $\prod_{x \in D} C_x$  is compact in the product topology. The set  $\mathcal{N}$  is closed in this topology, i.e., in the topology of coordinate pointwise weak convergence (see Proposition 3.1). Preorder  $\mathcal{N}$  by setting  $g \leq h$  if and only if

$$k_D(g(x), w) \leq k_D(h(x), w)$$

for all  $w \in \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $x \in D$ . Let us choose a descending chain  $\{g_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $(\mathcal{N}, \leq)$  and let  $\Lambda'$  be an ultranet in  $\Lambda$ . By the compactness of  $\prod_{x \in D} C_x$ , a subnet  $\{g_{\lambda'}\}_{\lambda' \in \Lambda'}$  is an ultranet which is pointwise weakly convergent and

$$w - \lim_{\lambda'} g_{\lambda'}(x) = g(x), \quad x \in D.$$

The mapping  $g$  is holomorphic (see Proposition 3.1). Since the Kobayashi distance  $k_D$  is weakly lower semicontinuous, the following inequalities are valid for each  $w \in \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  and  $x \in D$ :

$$k_D(g(x), w) \leq \lim_{\lambda'} k_D(g_{\lambda'}(x), w) \leq k_D(g_\lambda(x), w), \quad \lambda \in \Lambda,$$

and this means that  $g$  is a lower bound of the chain. So Zorn's Lemma implies that  $\mathcal{N}$  contains a minimal element  $r$ . Now, we need to show that  $r$  maps  $D$  onto  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . Suppose there exists  $y \in D$  such that  $r(y) \notin \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . Since  $r \circ r \leq r$  and  $r$  is minimal,

$$k_D(r(y_0), w) = k_D(y_0, w) > 0$$

for  $y_0 = r(y)$  and all  $w \in \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . Let

$$C = \{(g \circ r)(y_0) : g \in \mathcal{N}\}.$$



We see that  $C$  is  $k_D$ -bounded, convex and weakly compact. The definition of  $\mathcal{N}$  implies that  $C$  is  $f$ -invariant for each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$  and therefore, by Lemma 4.2, we have

$$C \cap \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F}) \neq \emptyset.$$

Let us choose an arbitrary point  $(g \circ r)(y_0) \in C \cap \text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$ . Then we get the following contradiction:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= k_D((g \circ r)(y_0), (g \circ r)(y_0)) = k_D((g \circ r)(y_0), (g \circ g \circ r)(y_0)) \\ &= k_D(r(y_0), (g \circ r)(y_0)) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

Finally, we note that the assumption in the above theorem that the common fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(\mathcal{F})$  is nonempty, is essential as the example given in [29] shows.

## 6. The case of a finite commuting family of holomorphic mappings.

We begin with the following simple lemma.

**Lemma 6.1.** *Let  $D_j$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $D = \prod_{j=1}^n D_j$ . Suppose that each metric space  $(D_j, k_{D_j})$  is locally uniformly linearly convex. If  $f : D \rightarrow D$  is a holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) mapping with  $\text{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$  and a nonempty set  $A$  is invariant under  $f$  and is a holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) retract of  $D$ , then  $\text{Fix}(f) \cap A$  is a nonempty holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) retract of  $D$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $r$  be a holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) retraction of  $D$  onto  $A$ . Let us observe that  $f \circ r : D \rightarrow A$ ,  $(f \circ r)|_A = f|_A$ ,  $\text{Fix}(f) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $f : D \rightarrow D$  is a holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) mapping. Choose  $x_0 \in A$ . Then by Theorem 4.1 the sequence  $\{f \circ r^m(x_0)\} = \{f^m(x_0)\}$  is  $k_D$ -bounded and again by Theorem 4.1 this implies that the set  $\text{Fix}(f \circ r)$  is nonempty. It is easy to see that

$$\text{Fix}(f) \cap A = \text{Fix}(f \circ r)$$

and therefore by Theorem 5.1,  $\text{Fix}(f) \cap A$  is a holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) retract.  $\square$

The above lemma implies the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Let  $D_j$  be a bounded convex domain in a reflexive Banach space  $X_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$  and  $D = \prod_{j=1}^n D_j$ . Suppose that each metric space  $(D_j, k_{D_j})$  is locally uniformly linearly convex. Then, for every finite family  $\{f_1, \dots, f_l\}$  of commuting holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) self-mappings of*

$D$  a common fixed point set  $\text{Fix}(f_1) \cap \cdots \cap \text{Fix}(f_m)$  is nonempty and a holomorphic ( $k_D$ -nonexpansive) retract of  $D$ .

**Proof.** It is sufficient to apply Theorem 5.1, Lemma 6.1 and the mathematical induction with respect to  $l$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bruck, R.E., *Nonexpansive retracts of Banach spaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **76** (1970), 384–386.
- [2] Bruck, R.E., *Properties of fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **179** (1973), 251–262.
- [3] Budzyńska, M., *An example in holomorphic fixed point theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), 2771–2777.
- [4] Budzyńska, M., *Local uniform linear convexity with respect to the Kobayashi distance*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2003** (2003), no. 6, 367–374.
- [5] Budzyńska, M., *Domains which are locally uniformly linearly convex in the Kobayashi distance*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. **2003** (2003), no. 8, 513–519.
- [6] Budzyńska, M., *Holomorphic retracts in domains with local uniform convexity in linear sense in the Kobayashi distance*, Israel Mathematical Conference Proceedings (to appear).
- [7] Budzyńska, M., T. Kuczumow, *A strict convexity of the Kobayashi distance*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, vol. 4, (Eds. Y. J. Cho, J. K. Kim, S. M. Kang), Nova Science Publishers, Inc., Hauppauge, NY, 2003.
- [8] Budzyńska, M., T. Kuczumow and A. Stachura, *Properties of the Kobayashi distance*, Proceedings of the Second Conference on Nonlinear Analysis and Convex Analysis, (Eds. W. Takahashi and T. Tamaka), Yokohama Publishers, Yokohama, 2003, pp. 25–36.
- [9] Budzyńska, M., T. Kuczumow and T. Sękowski, *Total sets and semicontinuity of the Kobayashi distance*, Nonlinear Analysis **47** (2001), 2793–2803.
- [10] Chae, S.B., *Holomorphy and Calculus in Normed Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1985.
- [11] Dineen, S., *The Schwarz Lemma*, Oxford University Press, New York, 1989.
- [12] Dineen, S., R. M. Timoney and J.-P. Vigué, *Pseudodistances invariantes sur les domaines d'un espace localement convexe*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa **12** (1985), 515–529.
- [13] Earle, C.J., R.S. Hamilton, *A fixed point theorem for holomorphic mappings*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 16, Amer. Math. Soc., 1970, pp. 61–65.
- [14] Edelstein, M., *The construction of an asymptotic center with a fixed point property*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1972), 206–208.
- [15] Engelking, R., *Outline of General Topology*, North-Holland Publishers Co., Amsterdam, 1968.
- [16] Franzoni, T., E. Vesentini, *Holomorphic Maps and Invariant Distances*, North-Holland Publishers Co., Amsterdam–New York, 1980.
- [17] Goebel, K., W.A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [18] Goebel, K., S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1984.

- 
- [19] Goebel, K., T. Sękowski and A. Stachura, *Uniform convexity of the hyperbolic metric and fixed points of holomorphic mappings in the Hilbert ball*, *Nonlinear Analysis* **4** (1980), 1011–1021.
- [20] Harris, L.A., *Schwarz-Pick systems of pseudometrics for domains in normed linear spaces*, *Advances in Holomorphy*, North-Holland Publishers Co., Amsterdam–New York, 1979, pp. 345–406.
- [21] Hille, E., R.S. Phillips, *Functional Analysis and Semigroups*, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1957.
- [22] Jarnicki, M., P. Pflug, *Invariant Distances and Metrics in Complex Analysis*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1993.
- [23] Kapeluszny, J., T. Kuczumow, *A few properties of the Kobayashi distance and their applications*, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **15** (2000), 169–177.
- [24] Kelley, J.L., *General Topology*, Springer, New York–Berlin, 1975.
- [25] Kobayashi, S., *Invariant distances on complex manifolds and holomorphic mappings*, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **19** (1967), 460–480.
- [26] Kobayashi, S., *Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.
- [27] Kuczumow, T., *Fixed points of holomorphic mappings in the Hilbert ball*, *Colloq. Math.* **55** (1988), 101–107.
- [28] Kuczumow, T., *The weak lower semicontinuity of the Kobayashi distance and its application*, *Math. Z.* **236** (2001), 1–9.
- [29] Kuczumow, T., S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, *The existence and non-existence of common fixed points for commuting families of holomorphic mappings*, *Nonlinear Analysis* **43** (2001), 45–59.
- [30] Kuczumow, T., S. Reich and D. Shoikhet, *Fixed points of holomorphic mappings: a metric approach*, *Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory*, (Eds. W. A. Kirk and B. Sims), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht–Boston–London, 2001, pp. 437–515.
- [31] Kuczumow, T., A. Stachura, *Iterates of holomorphic and  $k_D$ -nonexpansive mappings in convex domains in  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , *Adv. in Math.* **81** (1990), 90–98.
- [32] Lempert, L., *Holomorphic retracts and intrinsic metrics in convex domains*, *Anal. Math.* **8** (1982), 257–261.
- [33] Stachura, A., *Holomorphic retractions and fixed points of holomorphic mappings from a metric point of view*, *Rozprawy habilitacyjne Wydziału Matematyki i Fizyki UMCS 68*, Lublin, 1994. (Polish)
- [34] Vigué, J.-P., *La métrique infinitésimale de Kobayashi et la caractérisation des domaines convexes bornés*, *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **78** (1999), 867–876.
- [35] Vigué, J.-P., *Stricte convexité des domaines bornés et unicité des géodésiques complexes*, *Bull. Sci. Math.* **125** (2001), 297–310.

Instytut Matematyki UMCS  
pl. M. Curie-Skłodowskiej 1  
20-031 Lublin, Poland  
e-mail: monikab@golem.umcs.lublin.pl  
e-mail: tadek@golem.umcs.lublin.pl

Received April 23, 2003