

EKATERINA G. GANENKOVA

**On the theorem of regularity of decrease
for universal linearly invariant
families of functions**

ABSTRACT. This article includes results connected with the theorem of regularity of decrease for linearly invariant families \mathcal{U}_α of analytic functions in the unit disk. In particular the question about a cardinality of the set of directions of intensive decrease for any function from \mathcal{U}_α is considered.

In this article we study linearly invariant families, which were defined by Ch. Pommerenke [10] in 1964.

Definition 1 ([10]). A family \mathfrak{M} of functions $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n(f)z^n$ analytic in the unit disk $\Delta = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ is called a linearly invariant family (LIF) if each function $f \in \mathfrak{M}$ satisfies the following conditions:

- 1) $f'(z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Delta$ (local univalence);
- 2) functions of the form

$$\Lambda[f(z)] = \frac{f\left(e^{i\theta} \frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z}\right) - f(e^{i\theta}a)}{f'(e^{i\theta}a) \cdot (1 - |a|^2)e^{i\theta}} = z + \dots$$

for all $a \in \Delta$ and $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$ belong to \mathfrak{M} (invariance with respect to a conformal automorphism of the unit disk Δ).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30E35, 30C55, 30D99.

Key words and phrases. Locally univalence, linear invariance, linearly invariant family, theorem of regularity.

Definition 2 ([2]). The quantity

$$\text{ord } \mathfrak{M} = \sup_{f \in \mathfrak{M}} |a_2(f)|$$

is called the order of the LIF \mathfrak{M} .

Definition 3 ([10]). The union of all linearly invariant families of order not greater than α is called a universal linearly invariant family of order α and it is denoted by \mathcal{U}_α .

For every continuous function $g : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $r \in [0; 1)$ we put

$$M(r, \phi) = \max_{|z|=r} |\phi(z)|, \quad m(r, \phi) = \min_{|z|=r} |\phi(z)|.$$

For linearly invariant families there is a list of theorems concerning the regularity growth. Statements of this type characterize the order of growth of moduli of functions and their derivatives.

Such results, for example, for the well-known class S of univalent functions in Δ were obtained in [1], [7], [8].

Theorem A (regularity of growth in S). *Let $f \in S$. Then*

1) *there exists the limit*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[M(r, f) \frac{(1-r)^2}{r} \right] = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[M(r, f') \frac{(1-r)^3}{1+r} \right] = \delta \in [0, 1]$$

and $\delta = 1$ for the Koebe function $f_\theta(z) = z(1 - ze^{-i\theta})^{-2}$ only. Functions under the sign of the limit are decreasing with respect to r , $0 < r < 1$, if $\delta \neq 1$.

2) *If $\delta \neq 0$, then there exists $\varphi^0 \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[|f(re^{i\varphi})| \frac{(1-r)^2}{r} \right] = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[|f'(re^{i\varphi})| \frac{(1-r)^3}{1+r} \right] = \begin{cases} \delta, & \varphi = \varphi^0, \\ 0, & \varphi \neq \varphi^0; \end{cases}$$

functions under the sign of the limit are decreasing with respect to $r \in (0, 1)$ too.

Theorems of regularity of growth for universal LIF were proved in [2], [11], [12] (see also the review [5]).

Theorem B (regularity of growth in \mathcal{U}_α). *Let $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$. Then*

1) *for all $\varphi \in [0; 2\pi)$ functions*

$$|f'(re^{i\varphi})| \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1+r)^{\alpha-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad M(r, f') \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1+r)^{\alpha-1}}$$

are non-increasing with respect to $r \in (0; 1)$;

2) *there exist numbers $\delta^0 \in [0, 1]$ and $\varphi^0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\delta^0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[M(r, f) 2\alpha \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r} \right)^\alpha \right] = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[M(r, f') \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1+r)^{\alpha-1}} \right]$$

$$= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left[|f'(re^{i\varphi_0})| \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1+r)^{\alpha-1}} \right] = \lim_{r \rightarrow -1} \left[|f(e^{i\varphi_0})| 2\alpha \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r} \right)^\alpha \right].$$

3) $\delta^0 = 1 \iff f(z) = k_\theta(z) = \frac{e^{i\theta}}{2\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{1+ze^{-i\theta}}{1-ze^{-i\theta}} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right]$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed.

Taking into consideration the above-stated class of theorems it is natural to consider the question about the order of decrease of the analogous values.

In the paper [3] (see also [4]) the following theorem of regularity of decrease was proved.

Theorem 1 (regularity of decrease in \mathcal{U}_α). *Let $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$. Then*

1) *there exist numbers $\delta_0 \in [1, \infty]$ and $\varphi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that*

$$\delta_0 = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left[m(r, f') \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right] = \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left[|f'(re^{i\varphi_0})| \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right].$$

Functions under the sign of the limit are non-decreasing with respect to $r \in (0; 1)$ for all $\phi_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

2) $\delta_0 = 1 \iff f(z) = k_\theta(z) = -\frac{e^{i\theta}}{2\alpha} \left[\left(\frac{1-ze^{-i\theta}}{1+ze^{-i\theta}} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right]$, where $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed.

Definition 4. The number φ_0 from Theorem 1 we shall call a direction of maximal decrease (shortly written d.m.d.) of $f(z)$.

Definition 5. Every number $\theta \in [0; 2\pi)$ such that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} |f'(re^{i\theta})| \frac{(1+r)^{(\alpha+1)}}{(1-r)^{(\alpha-1)}} = \delta_\theta \in [1; \infty)$$

we shall call a direction of intensive decrease (shortly written d.i.d.) of $f(z)$.

It is natural to define the partition of family \mathcal{U}_α into disjoint classes $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_0)$, $\delta_0 \in [1; \infty]$, where the same number δ_0 (this is the number from Theorem 1) corresponds to all functions from the class $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_0)$.

Since the class $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{U}_1$ has been well investigated, therefore, we will study the case $\alpha > 1$ only.

Theorem 2. *Let $\alpha > 1$, $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_0)$, $\delta_0 < \infty$; θ is one of d.i.d. of the function f and $\delta \in [\delta_0, \infty)$ is a number which corresponds to this d.i.d.*

Denote by $\Delta(\eta)$ the Stoltz angle with measure 2η , $\eta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2})$ with vertex at the point $e^{i\theta}$, $\Phi(\zeta) = \arg f'(\rho(\zeta)e^{i\theta})$, $\zeta \in \Delta(\eta)$ where

$$\rho(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{(1-r_0^2)^2}{4r_0^4 c^2(\zeta)} + \frac{1}{r_0^2} + \frac{1}{2c(\zeta)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_0^2} \right)}, \quad r_0 = \sin \eta,$$

$$c(\zeta) = \Re\{\zeta e^{-i\theta}\} - \tan \eta |\Im\{\zeta e^{-i\theta}\}|.$$

Then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\alpha \notin \mathbb{N}$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n < \alpha + 1$ if $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\frac{f^{(n)}(\zeta)}{k_\theta^{(n)}(\zeta)} e^{-i\Phi(\zeta)} \xrightarrow{|\zeta| \rightarrow 1^-} \delta$$

in $\Delta(\eta)$.

Thus, if a function $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ has d.i.d. θ , then for above-stated n a behavior of functions $|f^{(n)}|$ and $|k_\theta^{(n)}|\delta$ differs a little in the angle domain

$$\Delta(R, \eta) = \left\{ \zeta \in \Delta : |\arg(1 - \zeta e^{-i\theta})| < \eta, R < |\zeta| < 1 \right\}$$

as $R \rightarrow 1$.

Proof. For any $\phi \in [0; 2\pi)$ there exists the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left[|f'(re^{i\phi})| \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right] = \delta(\phi).$$

Let us fix $a \in \Delta$ and ϕ and denote $z = \frac{re^{i\phi} - a}{1 - \bar{a}re^{i\phi}}$, $|z| = R(r)$. It is known [3, Th. 2] that $\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} R'(r) = \frac{1 - |a|^2}{|1 - \bar{a}e^{i\phi}|}$. For such z we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left[|f'(z, a)| \frac{(1 + |z|)^{\alpha+1}}{(1 - |z|)^{\alpha-1}} \right] \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{|f'(re^{i\phi})|(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{|f'(a)||1 + \bar{a}z|^2(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left(\frac{1-r}{1-R(r)} \right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{\delta(\phi)}{|f'(a)| \left| 1 + \bar{a} \frac{re^{i\phi} - a}{1 - \bar{a}re^{i\phi}} \right|^2} \left(\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{1}{R'(r)} \right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{\delta(\phi)|1 - \bar{a}e^{i\phi}|^{2\alpha}}{|f'(a)|(1 - |a|^2)^{\alpha+1}} \\ &\geq \lim_{R(r) \rightarrow 1^-} \left[m(R(r), f'(z, a)) \frac{(1 + R(r))^{\alpha+1}}{(1 - R(r))^{\alpha-1}} \right] = \delta_a. \end{aligned}$$

Let us assume now ϕ to be equal θ — d.i.d. of $f(z)$. Put $a = \rho e^{i\theta}$, then

$$\frac{\delta(\theta)(1 - \rho)^{2\alpha}}{|f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|(1 - \rho^2)^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{\delta(\theta)(1 - \rho)^{\alpha-1}}{|f'(\rho e^{i\theta})|(1 + \rho)^{\alpha+1}} \geq \delta_a.$$

Therefore, $\delta_a \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1^-} 1$ and in view of the Theorem 3 from [3] any locally convergent in Δ sequence $f_n(z) = f(z, \rho_n e^{i\theta})$ converges to $k_{\theta_1}(z)$ as $\rho_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1^-$ for some $\theta_1 \in [0; 2\pi)$.

We shall prove $\theta_1 = \theta$. Denote $R_n = \frac{r + \rho_n}{1 + \rho_n r}$.

$$|k'_{\theta_1}(re^{i\theta})| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f'_n(re^{i\theta})| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f'(R_n e^{i\theta})|}{|f'(\rho_n e^{i\theta})|(1 + \rho_n r)^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|f'(R_n e^{i\theta})|^{\frac{(1+R_n)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-R_n)^{\alpha-1}}}}{|f'(\rho_n e^{i\theta})|^{\frac{(1+\rho_n)^{\alpha+1}(1+\rho_n r)^2}{(1-\rho_n)^{\alpha-1}}}} \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1-R_n}{1-\rho_n} \right)^{\alpha-1} \\
&= \frac{\delta(\theta)}{\delta(\theta)(1+r)^2} \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r} \right)^{\alpha-1} \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 1-} 0,
\end{aligned}$$

and this is possible in the case $\theta_1 = \theta$ only.

Taking into account the inequality (see [10])

$$(1) \quad \frac{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}} \leq |f'(z)| \leq \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha-1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha+1}}, \quad r = |z|$$

one can use Vitali theorem for the functions $f'(z, \rho e^{i\theta})$. Thus

$$f'(z, \rho e^{i\theta}) \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1-} k'_\theta(z)$$

locally uniformly in Δ . In particular, for every fixed $r_0 \in (0, 1)$

$$\frac{f' \left(\frac{z + \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z} \right)}{f'(\rho e^{i\theta})(1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z)^2} \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1-} \frac{(1 - z e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + z e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha+1}}$$

uniformly in the disk $\{|z| \leq r_0\}$. Thus functions

$$\frac{f' \left(\frac{z + \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z} \right)}{f'(\rho e^{i\theta})} \quad \text{and} \quad (1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z)^2 \frac{(1 - z e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha-1}}{(1 + z e^{-i\theta})^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{k'_\theta \left(\frac{z + \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z} \right)}{k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})}$$

converge uniformly in $\{|z| \leq r_0\}$ to the same analytic function on $\{|z| \leq r_0\}$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1-$, i.e.

$$(2) \quad \frac{f' \left(\frac{z + \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z} \right)}{f'(\rho e^{i\theta})} - \frac{k'_\theta \left(\frac{z + \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z} \right)}{k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})} \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1-} 0$$

uniformly in $\{|z| \leq r_0\}$. Further proof of the case $n = 1$ follows the line proved for a similar theorem in [12].

The function $\frac{z + \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z}$ maps univalently the disk $\{|z| \leq r_0\}$ onto the disk with the center $c(r_0) = e^{i\theta} \rho \frac{1-r_0^2}{1-\rho^2 r_0^2}$ and the radius $r_*(r_0) = \frac{r_0(1-\rho^2)}{1-\rho^2 r_0^2}$. It follows that

$$\frac{f'(\zeta) k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})}{k'_\theta(\zeta) f'(\rho e^{i\theta})} \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1-} 1$$

uniformly in the disk $K_\rho(r_0) = \{|\zeta - c(r_0)| \leq r_*(r_0)\}$. If we denote $\Phi(\rho) = \arg f'(\rho e^{i\theta})$, we have $\frac{f'(\zeta)}{k'_\theta(\zeta)} e^{-i\Phi(\rho)} \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1-} \delta$ uniformly in $K_\rho(r_0)$, thus for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $R_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that for all $\rho \in (R_1, 1)$

$$(3) \quad \left| \frac{f'(\zeta)}{k'_\theta(\zeta)} e^{-i\Phi(\rho)} - \delta \right| < \varepsilon,$$

for all $\zeta \in K_\rho(r_0)$. Let 2β be the measure of an angle with the vertex at $e^{i\theta}$ and with the arms tangential to the circle $K_\rho(r_0)$. Then

$$\sin \beta = \frac{r_*(r_0)}{1 - |c(r_0)|} = \frac{r_0(1 - \rho^2)}{1 - \rho^2 r_0^2 - \rho + \rho r_0^2} = \frac{r_0(1 + \rho)}{1 + \rho r_0^2} = \psi(\rho),$$

The function $\psi(\rho)$ is increasing. Consequently, for $\rho \in (R', 1)$ the family of disks $K_\rho(r_0)$ covers a subset of Δ , which contains $\Delta(R, \eta)$ for some R and η . We can take $\arcsin r_0$, instead of η because $\psi(\rho)$ is an increasing function. Thus we can choose η arbitrarily close to $\pi/2$ for r_0 close to 1. Therefore, (3) holds in $\Delta(R, \eta)$, where $\eta \in (0, \pi/2)$ is fixed and R depends on ε . Then for every $\zeta \in \Delta(R, \eta)$ there is a $\Phi = \Phi(\rho)$ (not necessary one, because ζ belongs to many circles $K_\rho(r_0)$), where ρ is such that $\zeta \in K_\rho(r_0)$. Consequently, we can choose such disk $K_\rho(r_0)$ that ζ lies on a radius which is orthogonal to one of the sides of the sector $\Delta(R, \eta)$. Then $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2} - \eta) = \frac{\Im[(\zeta - c(r_0))e^{-i\theta}]}{|(\zeta - c(r_0))e^{-i\theta}|}$. Let us suppose that $\Im(\zeta e^{-i\theta}) \neq 0$, otherwise we can take ζ equal to center $c(r_0)$ of $K_\rho(r_0)$. Therefore

$$\frac{1}{\cos^2 \eta} = \left(\frac{\Re(\zeta e^{-i\theta}) - |c(r_0)|}{\Im(\zeta e^{-i\theta})} \right)^2 + 1.$$

That is

$$\tan \eta = \frac{\Re(\zeta e^{-i\theta}) - |c(r_0)|}{|\Im(\zeta e^{-i\theta})|} \iff |c(r_0)| = \Re(\zeta e^{-i\theta}) - \tan \eta |\Im(\zeta e^{-i\theta})|.$$

Since $|c(r_0)| = \rho \frac{1 - r_0^2}{1 - \rho^2 r_0^2}$, we get $\rho^2 r_0^2 |c(r_0)| + \rho(1 - r_0^2) - |c(r_0)| = 0$ and

$$\rho = \rho(\zeta) = \sqrt{\frac{(1 - r_0^2)^2}{4r_0^4 |c(r_0)|^2} + \frac{1}{r_0^2} + \frac{1}{2|c(r_0)|} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r_0^2}\right)},$$

where $r_0 = \sin \eta$. This proves the Theorem 2 in the case $n = 1$.

Let now $n \geq 2$. After differentiating (2) with respect to z $n - 1$ times, then multiplication by $(1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z)^2$, we get the expression

$$\frac{f^{(n)}\left(\frac{z + \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z}\right) (1 - \rho^2)^{n-1}}{f'(\rho e^{i\theta})} - \frac{k_\theta^{(n)}\left(\frac{z + \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z}\right) (1 - \rho^2)^{n-1}}{k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})}$$

and passing to the limit as $\rho \rightarrow 1-$ we conclude that it tends to zero uniformly in $\{|z| \leq r_0\}$.

Since

$$\frac{k'_\theta\left(\frac{z + \rho e^{i\theta}}{1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z}\right)}{k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})} = (1 + \rho e^{-i\theta} z)^2 \frac{(1 - z e^{-i\theta})^{(\alpha-1)}}{(1 + z e^{-i\theta})^{(\alpha+1)}},$$

then the function $\frac{k'_\theta\left(\frac{z+\rho e^{i\theta}}{1+\rho e^{-i\theta}z}\right)}{k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})}$ is bounded away from zero as $\rho \rightarrow 1-$ in the disk $\{|z| \leq r_0\}$. Since

$$\frac{k'_\theta\left(\frac{z+\rho e^{i\theta}}{1+\rho e^{-i\theta}z}\right)}{k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})} \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1-} \left(\frac{1 - ze^{-i\theta}}{1 + ze^{-i\theta}}\right)^{\alpha-1}$$

locally uniformly in Δ , then

$$\frac{k_\theta^{(n)}\left(\frac{z+\rho e^{i\theta}}{1+\rho e^{-i\theta}z}\right)(1-\rho^2)^{n-1}}{k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})} \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1-} (-2)^{n-1} e^{-i(n-1)\theta} (\alpha-1) \dots (\alpha-(n-1)) \left(\frac{1 - ze^{-i\theta}}{1 + ze^{-i\theta}}\right)^{\alpha-n}$$

locally uniformly in Δ , and thus locally uniformly in the disk $\{|z| \leq r_0\}$.

Consequently, the function $\frac{k_\theta^{(n)}\left(\frac{z+\rho e^{i\theta}}{1+\rho e^{-i\theta}z}\right)(1-\rho^2)^{n-1}}{k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})}$ is bounded away from zero in the disk $\{|z| \leq r_0\}$ firstly for any natural number n , if α is not natural, and, secondly, for every n such that $n < \alpha + 1$, if α is natural.

Thus for above-stated n

$$\frac{f^{(n)}(\zeta) k'_\theta(\rho e^{i\theta})}{k_\theta^{(n)}(\zeta) f'(\rho e^{i\theta})} \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1-} 1$$

uniformly in $K_\rho(r_0)$. Next, similarly as in the case $n = 1$, from (4) we get $\frac{f^{(n)}(\zeta)}{k_\theta^{(n)}(\zeta)} e^{-i\Phi(\zeta)} \xrightarrow{\rho \rightarrow 1-} \delta$ uniformly in $\Delta(R, \eta)$ as $R \rightarrow 1-$.

Theorem 2 has been proved. \square

We have now all necessary facts to give the answer to the following question: what is a cardinality of the set of d.i.d. for the function $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$, $\alpha > 1$?

It was proved in [3] that if $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ then for all $\varphi \in [0; 2\pi)$ there exists $\delta(\varphi)$ such that for any circle (or straight line) Γ orthogonal to $\partial\Delta$ at the point $e^{i\varphi}$ there holds

$$|f'(\xi)| \frac{(1 + |\xi|)^{\alpha+1}}{(1 - |\xi|)^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow \delta(\varphi)$$

as $\xi \rightarrow e^{i\varphi}$ along Γ and $\delta(\varphi)$ does not depend on Γ . This property is not true for $k_0(z)$, if Γ is not orthogonal to $\partial\Delta$. It follows from Theorem 2 that under assumptions concerning the curve Γ this property is false not only for the function k_0 but for arbitrary function $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ (which have any d.i.d.) either. Thus, if θ is the d.i.d of the function $f(z)$ then there exist two curves Γ_1 and Γ_2 in Δ such that $|f'(z')| \frac{(1+|z'|)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-|z'|)^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow a'$ as $z' \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ along Γ_1 and $|f'(z'')| \frac{(1+|z''|)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-|z''|)^{\alpha-1}} \rightarrow a''$ as $z'' \rightarrow e^{i\theta}$ along Γ_2 . And $a' \neq a''$. Thus $e^{i\theta}$ is

the point of indeterminacy of the function $|f'(z)|\frac{(1+|z|)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-|z|)^{\alpha-1}}$. By Bagemihl theorem (see [9]) the set of such points is at most countable. Therefore, the set of d.i.d. of a function $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is at most countable.

It is natural to ask whether it is possible to give an example of a function, which has a given number of d.i.d. The following theorem gives the answer to this question.

Theorem 3. 1) Let n be a fixed integer number, $n \geq 2$ and $1 < \alpha < \infty$. Then a function

$$g_{n,\alpha}(z) = \int_0^z (1-s^n)^{\alpha-1} ds \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$$

and possesses exactly n d.i.d.

2) The function

$$g_\alpha(z) = \int_0^z \left[\frac{1 - \exp(-\pi \frac{1-s}{1+s})}{1 - e^{-\pi}} \right]^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{(1+s)^2} ds \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$$

and the set of its d.i.d. is countable.

Remark 1. In the case $n = 1$ $k_\theta \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ is a trivial example.

Remark 2. The similar result for directions of intensive growth is known (see [13]), it has been established however not for all $1 < \alpha < \infty$.

Proof. 1) Denote by $e^{i\theta}$ one of the values of $\sqrt[n]{1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[|g'_{n,\alpha}(re^{i\theta})| \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right] &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{(1-r^n)^{\alpha-1} (1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \\ &= n^{\alpha-1} 2^{\alpha+1} \in (1; \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\text{ord } g_{n,\alpha} = \alpha$, then all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$ will be d.i.d. of $g_{n,\alpha}$ and their quantity is equal to n exactly (because $e^{i\theta}$ is a value of $\sqrt[n]{1}$).

Thus our aim is to prove that $\text{ord } g_{n,\alpha} = \alpha$.

We prove firstly that

$$(5) \quad \text{ord } g_{n,\alpha} \leq \alpha.$$

Since the order of the family \mathfrak{M} is given by

$$\text{ord } \mathfrak{M} = \sup_{f \in \mathfrak{M}} \sup_{z \in \Delta} \left| -\bar{z} + \frac{1-|z|^2}{2} \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} \right|$$

it follows that in order to (5) it is sufficient to show that the inequality

$$\left| -\bar{z} + \frac{1-|z|^2}{2} \frac{g''_\alpha(z)}{g'_\alpha(z)} \right| \leq \alpha$$

is valid on each circle $\{z : |z| = r\}$, $0 \leq r < 1$, or equivalently

$$(6) \quad \left| -|z|^2 + \frac{1 - |z|^2}{2} \frac{(1 - \alpha)nz^n}{1 - z^n} \right| \leq \alpha|z|.$$

The function $w = \frac{z^n}{1 - z^n}$ maps the circle $\{z : |z| = r\}$ onto a circle symmetric with respect to real axis. Hence, the function

$$-|z|^2 + \frac{1 - |z|^2}{2} \frac{(1 - \alpha)nz^n}{1 - z^n}$$

also maps $\{z : |z| = r\}$ onto a circle symmetric with respect to real axis and intersects it in the points

$$A_n = -r^2 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)n}{2} \frac{r^n}{1 + r^n} (1 - r^2)$$

and

$$B_n = -r^2 + \frac{(1 - \alpha)n}{2} \frac{r^n}{1 - r^n} (1 - r^2).$$

Let us find M_r , the maximum of left-hand side of the inequality (6) on any circle $\{z : |z| = r\}$. Since $0 \leq r < 1$ and $1 < \alpha < \infty$, then B_n is non-positive for all r . Let us consider all possibilities of location of points A_n and B_n :

a) If $A_n \geq -B_n$, then $M_r = A_n$. In this case we have

$$(7) \quad -r^2 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)n}{2} \frac{r^n}{1 + r^n} (1 - r^2) \geq r^2 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)n}{2} \frac{r^n}{1 - r^n} (1 - r^2).$$

But

$$\frac{(\alpha - 1)n}{2} \frac{r^n}{1 + r^n} (1 - r^2) \leq \frac{(\alpha - 1)n}{2} \frac{r^n}{1 - r^n} (1 - r^2),$$

hence the condition (7) is not fulfilled. Thus the case a) does not hold.

b) If $A_n \leq -B_n$, then $M_r = -B_n$. This is true always.

So, $M_r = -B_n = r^2 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)n}{2} (1 - r^2) \frac{r^n}{1 - r^n}$. We will obtain first our inequality (6) in the case $n = 2$.

$$M_r = -B_2 = r^2 + (\alpha - 1) \frac{r^2}{1 - r^2} (1 - r^2) = \alpha r^2.$$

That is $\alpha r^2 \leq \alpha r$. It is true for all $r \in [0; 1)$. Thus the inequality (6) has been proved for $n = 2$.

Now let $n > 2$. It is required to establish the inequality

$$(8) \quad M_r = -B_n = r^2 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)n}{2} \frac{r^n}{1 - r^n} (1 - r^2) \leq \alpha r.$$

To prove (8) it is sufficient to show that the sequence $\{-B_n\}$ decreases. We can write B_n in the form

$$-B_n = r^2 + \frac{(\alpha - 1)}{2} (1 - r^2) F(n),$$

where $F(x) = \frac{xr^x}{1-r^x}$. Since

$$F'(x) = \frac{(r^x + xr^x \ln r)(1 - r^x) + xr^{2x} \ln r}{(1 - r^x)^2} = \frac{r^x(1 - r^x + \ln r^x)}{(1 - r^x)^2}$$

then the sequence $\{-B_n\}$ decreases for any $r \in [0; 1)$. Hence (5) is proved.

Here the strict inequality is impossible. For, if $\text{ord } g_{n,\alpha} = \alpha - \varepsilon < \alpha$, $\varepsilon > 0$, then by Theorem 1 there should be

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \left[|g'(re^{i\theta})| \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha-\varepsilon+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-\varepsilon-1}} \right] \in [1, \infty],$$

the last limit actually is equal to

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1^-} \frac{(1-r^n)^{\alpha-1} (1+r)^{\alpha+1-\varepsilon}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1-\varepsilon}} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. We have proved that $\text{ord } g_{n,\alpha} = \alpha$. The case 1) has been established.

2) We are going to prove that the function $g_\alpha(z)$ is the limit of $g'_{n,\alpha}(z, a_n)$ for odd n tending to infinity, where

$$a_{2n+1} = \frac{1 - \sin \frac{\pi}{2n+1}}{\cos \frac{\pi}{2n+1}}.$$

Notice that $a_n \in \Delta$, because

$$a_{2n+1} = \frac{1 - \sin \frac{\pi}{2n+1}}{\sqrt{1 - \sin^2 \frac{\pi}{2n+1}}} = \sqrt{\frac{1 - \sin \frac{\pi}{2n+1}}{1 + \sin \frac{\pi}{2n+1}}} < 1.$$

By Theorem 2 from [9] all d.i.d. of the function $g_{2n+1,\alpha}(z)$ will be transformed into any d.i.d. of the function $g_{2n+1,\alpha}(z, a_{2n+1})$ by the conformal automorphism $\frac{z+a_{2n+1}}{1+a_{2n+1}z}$ of the unit disk.

$$\begin{aligned} g'_{2n+1,\alpha}(z, a_{2n+1}) &= \frac{g'_{2n+1,\alpha} \left(\frac{z+a_{2n+1}}{1+a_{2n+1}z} \right)}{g'_{2n+1,\alpha}(a_{2n+1})(1+a_{2n+1}z)^2} \\ &= \left[\frac{1 - \left(\frac{z+a_{2n+1}}{1+a_{2n+1}z} \right)^{2n+1}}{1 - a_{2n+1}^{2n+1}} \right]^{\alpha-1} \cdot \frac{1}{(1+a_{2n+1}z)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We obtain now the function $g_\alpha(z)$. We calculate the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g'_{2n+1,\alpha}(z, a_{2n+1}) = \left(\frac{1 - \exp\left(-\pi \frac{1-z}{1+z}\right)}{1 - e^{-\pi}} \right)^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{(1+z)^2} = g'_\alpha(z).$$

It gives

$$g_\alpha(z) = \int_0^z \left[\frac{1 - \exp(-\pi \frac{1-s}{1+s})}{1 - e^{-\pi}} \right]^{\alpha-1} \frac{1}{(1+s)^2} ds.$$

Let us prove that $g_\alpha(z) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$. By the formula (1) the sequence of functions $g'_{2n+1,\alpha}(z, a_{2n+1})$ is uniformly bounded and it converges for all $z \in \Delta$. Then by Vitali theorem $g_\alpha(z)$ is a uniform limit. And $g_\alpha(z) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ since \mathcal{U}_α is compact in the topology of uniform convergence.

We prove that the set of d.i.d. of the function $g_\alpha(z)$ is countable. The numerator in the brackets (in the expression of the function $g_\alpha(z)$) vanishes in the points $\frac{1+2ki}{1-2ki}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We shall prove that each $\theta_k = \arg \frac{1+2ki}{1-2ki}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is d.i.d. of the function $g_\alpha(z)$. For this purpose we shall calculate the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[|g'_\alpha(re^{i\theta_k})| \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right] = \frac{4\pi^{\alpha-1}(1+4k^2)^{\alpha-1}}{|1+e^{i\theta_k}|^2} \cdot \left| \frac{e^\pi}{e^\pi - 1} \right|^{\alpha-1} \in (1; \infty),$$

because of

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left| \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\pi \frac{1-re^{i\theta_k}}{1+re^{i\theta_k}}\right)}{1-r} \right| &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left| \frac{1 - \exp\left(-\pi \frac{1-2ki-r(1+2ki)}{1-2ki+r(1+2ki)} + 2k\pi i\right)}{1-r} \right| \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left| \frac{\pi \frac{(1+4k^2)(1-r)}{1-2ki+r(1+2ki)} + o(1-r)}{1-r} \right| \\ &= \frac{\pi(1+4k^2)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus all θ_k are d.i.d. of $g_\alpha(z)$. The theorem has been proved. \square

Our further purpose is to find a relationship between $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta)$ for various δ . Next two theorems assert that it is possible to construct a function $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_1)$ (for given δ_1) using the given function $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_2)$ if certain conditions are satisfied.

Theorem 4. *If $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_0)$ and $\delta_0 \in (1, \infty)$, then for all $\delta \in (1, \delta_0]$ there exists $a \in \Delta$ such that the function*

$$f(z, a) = \frac{f\left(\frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z}\right) - f(a)}{f'(a)(1-|a|^2)}$$

belongs to $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta)$.

Proof. For all $\varphi \in [0; 2\pi)$ there exists the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[|f'(re^{i\varphi})| \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right] = \delta(\varphi).$$

Let us fix φ . Denote $z = \frac{re^{i\varphi}-a}{1-\bar{a}re^{i\varphi}}$, $|z| = R(r)$. For such z we will consider the limit

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[|f'(z, a)| \frac{(1+R(r))^{\alpha+1}}{(1-R(r))^{\alpha-1}} \right] &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[\frac{\left| f' \left(\frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z} \right) \right| (1+R(r))^{\alpha+1}}{|f'(a)| |1+\bar{a}z|^2 (1-R(r))^{\alpha-1}} \right] \\ &= \delta(\varphi) \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[\frac{|f'(re^{i\varphi})|}{|f'(a)| |1+\bar{a}z|^2} \right] \cdot \left[\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{1}{R(r)} \right]^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{\delta(\varphi)}{|f'(a)|} \frac{|1-\bar{a}e^{i\varphi}|^{2\alpha}}{(1-|a|^2)^{\alpha+1}} \\ &\geq \lim_{R(r) \rightarrow 1-} \left[m(R(r), f'(z, a)) \frac{(1+R(r))^{\alpha+1}}{(1-R(r))^{\alpha-1}} \right] = \delta_a. \end{aligned}$$

Put φ equal to φ_0 — d.m.d. of the function $f(z)$ and $a = \rho e^{i\varphi_0}$. Then $\delta(\varphi) = \delta_0$ and

$$(9) \quad \frac{\delta_0(1-\rho)^{2\alpha}}{|f'(a)|(1-\rho^2)^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{\delta_0(1-\rho)^{\alpha-1}}{|f'(\rho e^{i\varphi_0})|(1+\rho)^{\alpha+1}} \geq \delta_a.$$

For the fixed $a = \rho e^{i\varphi_0}$ there exists $\varphi_1 \in [0; 2\pi)$ — d.m.d. of the function $f(z, a)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_a &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[|f'(re^{i\varphi_1}, a)| \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right] \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[\frac{f' \left(\frac{re^{i\varphi_1}+a}{1+\bar{a}re^{i\varphi_1}} \right) (1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{|f'(a)| |1+\bar{a}re^{i\varphi_1}|^2 (1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

If we denote $R_1(r)e^{i\gamma_1(r)} = \frac{re^{i\varphi_1}+a}{1+\bar{a}re^{i\varphi_1}}$, where $\gamma_1(r)$ is a real function, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_a &\geq \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[\frac{m(R_1(r), f'(z)) (1-r)^{\alpha+1}}{|f'(a)| |1+\bar{a}re^{i\varphi_1}|^2 (1+r)^{\alpha-1}} \right] \\ &= \frac{\delta_0}{|f'(a)| |1+\bar{a}e^{i\varphi_1}|^{2\alpha}} \left(\frac{1-|a|^2}{|1+\bar{a}e^{i\varphi_1}|^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{\delta_0(1-|a|^2)^{\alpha-1}}{|f'(a)| |1+\bar{a}e^{i\varphi_1}|^{2\alpha}} \\ &\geq \frac{\delta_0(1-\rho^2)^{\alpha-1}}{|f'(a)|(1+\rho)^{2\alpha}} = \frac{\delta_0(1-\rho)^{\alpha-1}}{|f'(a)|(1+\rho)^{\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, putting $a = \rho e^{i\varphi_0}$, from (9) we get

$$\delta_a = \frac{\delta_0(1-\rho)^{\alpha-1}}{|f'(\rho e^{i\varphi_0})|(1+\rho)^{\alpha+1}}.$$

Since $|f'(\rho e^{i\varphi_0})| \frac{(1+\rho)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-\rho)^{\alpha-1}}$ is a non-decreasing function of $\rho \in (0; 1)$, there exists ρ at which it takes the value $\delta_a \in (1; \delta_0]$. The theorem has been proved. \square

Theorem 5. *If $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_0)$, $\delta_0 \in (1, \infty)$, $\alpha > 1$ and there exists an interval $(x', x'') \subset [0; 2\pi)$ which does not contain d.m.d. of the function $f(z)$, then for any $\delta \in (1; \infty)$ there exists a number $a \in \Delta$ such that $f(z, a) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta)$.*

Proof. Let $\eta > 0$ be such that $x' + \eta = x_1 < x_2 = x'' - \eta$. By Privalov theorem of uniqueness (see [6]) there does not exist such $K > 0$ that $|f'(z)| \cdot (1-|z|)^{\alpha+1} > K$ in the sector $\{z : z \in \Delta, x_1 < \arg z < x_2\}$. Therefore there exists a sequence $a_n = \rho_n e^{i\theta_n}$, $\theta_n \in (x_1, x_2)$, $\theta_n \rightarrow \theta_0 \in [x_1, x_2]$, $\rho_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1$ such that $|f'(a_n)| = \frac{K_n}{(1-\rho_n)^{\alpha+1}}$, where $K_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Let us denote by φ_n — d.i.d. $f(z, a_n)$;

$$\frac{re^{i\varphi_n} + a_n}{1 + \bar{a}_n r e^{i\varphi_n}} = R_n(r) \cdot e^{i\gamma_n(r)},$$

$\gamma_n(r)$ is a real function;

$$e^{i\gamma_n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{e^{i\varphi_n} + a_n}{1 + \bar{a}_n e^{i\varphi_n}}; \quad \delta_n^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[|f'(re^{i\varphi_n}, a_n)| \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right];$$

$$\delta_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[|f'(re^{i\gamma_n})| \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right].$$

We will find a connection between δ_n^* and δ_n :

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_n^* &= \left[\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{|f'(R_n(r)e^{i\gamma_n(r)})|}{|f'(a_n)| |1 + \bar{a}_n r e^{i\varphi_n}|^2} \frac{(1+R_n(r))^{\alpha+1}}{(1-R_n(r))^{\alpha-1}} \right] \cdot \left(\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \frac{1-R_n(r)}{1-r} \right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} \left[\frac{|f'(re^{i\gamma_n})|}{|f'(a_n)| |1 + \bar{a}_n r e^{i\varphi_n}|^2} \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}} \right] \cdot \left(\lim_{r \rightarrow 1-} R_n'(r) \right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{\delta_n}{|f'(a_n)| |1 + \bar{a}_n e^{i\varphi_n}|^2} \cdot \left(\frac{1-|a_n|^2}{|1 + \bar{a}_n e^{i\varphi_n}|^2} \right)^{\alpha-1} \\ &= \frac{\delta_n (1-\rho_n^2)^{\alpha-1}}{|f'(a_n)| |1 + \bar{a}_n e^{i\varphi_n}|^{2\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{\delta_n |1 - \rho_n e^{i(\gamma_n - \theta_n)}|^{2\alpha}}{|f'(a_n)| (1-\rho_n^2)^{\alpha+1}} = \frac{\delta_n |1 - \rho_n e^{i(\gamma_n - \theta_n)}|^{2\alpha}}{K_n (1+\rho_n)^{\alpha+1}} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

because of

$$1 + \bar{a}_n e^{i\varphi_n} = 1 + \bar{a}_n \cdot \frac{e^{i\gamma_n} - a_n}{1 - \bar{a}_n e^{i\gamma_n}} = \frac{1 - \rho_n^2}{1 - \rho_n e^{i(\gamma_n - \theta_n)}}.$$

From the sequence $\{a_n\}$ it is possible to choose the subsequence such that corresponding subsequences $\{\delta_n\}$ and $\{\delta_n^*\}$ will be convergent. Let us

denote them as the initial sequences. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|1 - \rho_n e^{i(\gamma_n - \theta_n)}|^{2\alpha}}{K_n(1 + \rho_n)^{\alpha+1}} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|1 - \rho_n e^{i\eta}|^{2\alpha}}{K_n(1 + \rho_n)^{\alpha+1}},$$

because γ_n is d.i.d. of the function $f(z)$ by Theorem 2 in [3] (see also [4]) and, therefore, $\gamma_n \notin (x', x'')$. Since $K_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, then $\delta_n^* \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty$.

Thus for any number $\delta \in (1; \infty)$ we can find n such that $\delta_n^* > \delta$. Then (by Theorem 4) for the function $f_n = f(z, a_n) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_n^*)$ there exists a number $a \in \Delta$ such that $f_n(z, a) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta)$. The theorem has been proved. \square

To establish the relationship between classes $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta)$ we will need the following theorem.

Theorem 6. *For any function $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_0)$, $\delta_0 \in [1; \infty]$ and for any function $\delta^*(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in (0; 1)$ with values in $[\delta_0; \infty]$ there exists a family of functions $\psi_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta^*(\lambda))$ such that $\psi_\lambda(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ locally uniformly in Δ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.*

Proof. It was shown in [10] that if $f_\lambda(z) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$, $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ and $\psi'_\lambda(z) = (f'(z))^{1-\lambda}(f'_\lambda(z))^\lambda$, then for any $\lambda \in (0; 1)$ functions $\psi_\lambda(z) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$.

For all $\lambda \in (0; 1)$ we select a function f_λ , satisfying the following conditions:

- 1) d.m.d. of the function $f_\lambda(z)$ is equal to d.m.d. of the function $f(z)$. We can achieve it by rotation $e^{-i\theta} f(ze^{i\theta})$;
- 2) $f_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta(\lambda))$, where

$$\delta(\lambda) = \delta_0 \left(\frac{\delta^*(\lambda)}{\delta_0} \right)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \in [\delta_0; \infty]$$

for $\lambda \in (0; 1)$. Such a function exists, because $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta(\lambda)) \neq \emptyset$. It follows from Theorem 5 and example of the function k_θ . In the case of $\delta(\lambda) = \infty$ we can take the function $f_\lambda(z) = z$.

With such choices of functions $f_\lambda(z)$, $\lambda \in (0; 1)$ we have that

$$\psi_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}(\delta_0^{1-\lambda} \cdot \delta^\lambda(\lambda)) = \mathcal{U}(\delta^*(\lambda)).$$

We prove that $\psi_\lambda(z) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} f(z)$ locally uniformly in Δ . Indeed, taking into account (1) we get that $f'(z)$ and $f'_\lambda(z)$ are bounded away from zero in Δ . Therefore

$$\left(\frac{f'_\lambda(z)}{f'(z)} \right)^\lambda \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} 1$$

locally uniformly in Δ . Hence

$$\psi'_\lambda = f' \cdot \left(\frac{f'_\lambda}{f'} \right)^\lambda \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} f'$$

locally uniformly in Δ . It means that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a number $N \in (0; 1)$ such that as $\lambda < N$, $|\psi'_\lambda(z) - f'(z)| < \varepsilon$ for any $z \in K$, where K is a compact subset of Δ . Then for any $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ as $\lambda < N$ for any $z \in K$

$$|\psi_\lambda(z) - f(z)| = \left| \int_0^z (f'(s) - \psi'_\lambda(s)) ds \right| \leq \varepsilon \cdot C_K = \varepsilon_1,$$

because of $|z| < C_K$ for $z \in K$. Therefore, $\psi_\lambda(z) \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} f(z)$ uniformly in $K \subset \Delta$, that is locally uniformly in Δ . The theorem has been proved in all cases. \square

If we put $\delta^*(\lambda) \equiv \delta \in [\delta_0, \infty]$ in Theorem 6, we get

Corollary. *For any function $f \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_0)$, $\delta_0 \in [1, \infty]$ and $\delta \in [\delta_0, \infty]$ there is a family of functions $\psi_\lambda \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta)$, $\lambda \in (0; 1)$ such that $\psi_\lambda(z) \rightarrow f(z)$ locally uniformly in Δ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.*

Let us notice that the requirement of $\delta \in [\delta_0, \infty]$ is essential. Namely for $\delta \in (1; \delta_0)$ and any function $f(z) \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_0)$ there is no sequence of functions $f_n \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta)$ such that $f_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} f(z)$ locally uniformly in Δ .

Indeed, assume that there is this such a sequence f_n . The function $m(r, f') \frac{(1+r)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r)^{\alpha-1}}$ is non-decreasing with respect to $r \in (0; 1)$. Hence there is $r_0 \in (0; 1)$ such that

$$m(r_0, f') \frac{(1+r_0)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r_0)^{\alpha-1}} > \delta_0 - \frac{\delta_0 - \delta}{3}.$$

It follows from the uniform convergence of $f_n(z)$ that it is possible to choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and a natural n such that

$$|m(r_0, f'_n) - m(r_0, f')| < \varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon \frac{(1+r_0)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r_0)^{\alpha-1}} < \frac{\delta_0 - \delta}{3}.$$

Then

$$|m(r_0, f'_n) - m(r_0, f')| \frac{(1+r_0)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r_0)^{\alpha-1}} < \varepsilon \frac{(1+r_0)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r_0)^{\alpha-1}} < \frac{\delta_0 - \delta}{3},$$

therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} m(r_0, f'_n) \frac{(1+r_0)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r_0)^{\alpha-1}} &> m(r_0, f') \frac{(1+r_0)^{\alpha+1}}{(1-r_0)^{\alpha-1}} + \frac{\delta - \delta_0}{3} \\ &> \delta_0 + \frac{2}{3}(\delta - \delta_0) = \frac{2}{3}\delta + \frac{1}{3}\delta_0 \\ &> \frac{2}{3}\delta + \frac{1}{3}\delta = \delta, \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts to $f_n \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta)$.

Therefore, it follows that classes $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta)$ extend with increase of δ , as if $\delta_1 \leq \delta_2$ then we can approximate functions from class $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_1)$ by functions from $\mathcal{U}_\alpha(\delta_2)$ and it is impossible to do so in the opposite direction.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bieberbach, L., *Einführung in die konforme Abbildung*, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1967.
- [2] Campbell, D. M., *Locally univalent function with locally univalent derivatives*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **162** (1971), 395–409.
- [3] Ganenkova, E. G., *A theorem of regularity of decrease in linearly invariant families of functions*, Tr. Petrozavodsk. Gos. Univ. Ser. Mat. **13** (2006), 46–59 (Russian).
- [4] Ganenkova, E. G., *A theorem of regularity of decrease in linearly invariant families of functions*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. **2** (2007), 75–78 (Russian).
- [5] Godula, J., Starkov, V. V., *Linearly invariant families*, Tr. Petrozavodsk. Gos. Univ. Ser. Mat. **5** (1998), 3–96 (Russian).
- [6] Goluzin, G. M., *The Geometrical Theory of Functions of a Complex Variable*, Izdat. Nauka, Moscow, 1966 (Russian).
- [7] Hayman, W., *Multivalent Functions*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1958.
- [8] Krzyż, J., *On the maximum modulus of univalent functions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. **3** (1955), 203–206.
- [9] Noshiro, K., *Cluster Sets*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin–Göttingen–Heidelberg, 1960.
- [10] Pommerenke, Ch., *Linear invariante Familien analytischer Funktionen I*, Math. Ann. **155** (1964), 108–154.
- [11] Starkov, V. V., *A theorem of regularity in universal linearly invariant families of functions*, Proceedings of the International Conference of Constructed Theory of Functions Varna 1984, Sofia, 1984, 76–79 (Russian).
- [12] Starkov, V. V., *Regularity theorems for universal linearly invariant families of functions*, Serdika **11** (1985), 299–318 (Russian).
- [13] Starkov, V. V., *Directions of intensive growth of locally univalent functions*, Complex Analysis and Applications '87 (Varna 1987), Publ. House Bulgar. Acad. Sci., Sofia, 1989, 517–522.

Ekaterina G. Ganenkova
Department of Mathematics
University of Petrozavodsk
Pr. Lenina, 185640 Petrozavodsk, Russia
e-mail: g_ek@inbox.ru

Received April 19, 2007