

AGNIESZKA CZARNOTA

**Natural affinors
on the r -th order adapted frame bundle
over fibered-fibered manifolds**

ABSTRACT. We describe all $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -natural affinors on the r -th order adapted frame bundle $P_A^r Y$ over (m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2) -dimensional fibered-fibered manifolds Y .

Manifolds and maps are assumed to be of class \mathbf{C}^∞ . Manifolds are assumed to be finite dimension and without boundaries.

A fibered-fibered manifold (or a fibered square) is a commutative diagram

$$(1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \\ q \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ N & \xrightarrow{\pi_0} & M \end{array}$$

where all maps π , π_0 , p , q are surjective submersions and the induced map $Y \rightarrow X \times_M N$, $y \mapsto (\pi(y), q(y))$ is a surjective submersion, [3], [5]. A fibered-fibered manifold (or fibered square) (1) is denoted by Y for short.

A fibered-fibered manifold (1) has dimension (m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2) , if $\dim Y = m_1 + m_2 + n_1 + n_2$, $\dim X = m_1 + m_2$, $\dim N = m_1 + n_1$, $\dim M = m_1$. Recall that for two (m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2) -dimensional fibered-fibered manifolds Y , Y_1 , a local isomorphism $f : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ is a quadruple of local diffeomorphisms $f : Y \rightarrow Y_1$, $f_1 : X \rightarrow X_1$, $f_2 : N \rightarrow N_1$, $f_0 : M \rightarrow M_1$ such that all squares

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 58A20.

Key words and phrases. Fibered-fibered manifold, r -th order adapted frame bundle, natural affinor.

of corresponding cube are commutative. All fibered-fibered manifolds of dimension (m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2) and their local isomorphisms form a category which we will denote by $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$.

Every $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -object Y is locally isomorphic to the standard fibered-fibered manifold

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_2} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m_2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}^{m_1} \end{array}$$

which we will denote by $\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$, where arrows are projections.

For any fibered-fibered manifold Y of dimension (m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2) we define the r -th order adapted frame bundle

$$(2) \quad P_A^r Y = \{j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \varphi \mid \varphi : \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \rightarrow Y \text{ is } \mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}\text{-morphism}\}$$

over Y with jet target projection $\beta : P_A^r Y \rightarrow Y$, $\beta(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \varphi) = \varphi(0, 0, 0, 0)$.

Every $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -map $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y_1$ induces a map $P_A^r \psi : P_A^r Y \rightarrow P_A^r Y_1$ given by $P_A^r \psi(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \varphi) = j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r(\psi \circ \varphi)$, [1].

Definition 1. A $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -natural affiner \mathbf{A} on P_A^r is a family of $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -invariant affiners $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathbf{A}_Y\}$ (tensor fields of type $(1, 1)$):

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{A}_Y : TP_A^r Y \rightarrow TP_A^r Y,$$

on $P_A^r Y$ for any $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -object Y , [4].

The invariance means that

$$\mathbf{A}_{Y_1} \circ TP_A^r \psi = TP_A^r \psi \circ \mathbf{A}_Y$$

for any $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -map $\psi : Y \rightarrow Y_1$.

In this article we describe all $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -natural affiners on P_A^r . All $\mathcal{M}f_m$ -natural affiners on P^r were described by Kurek and Mikulski in [4]. We have the following examples of $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -natural affiners on P_A^r .

Example 1. The identity $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -natural affiner Id on P_A^r such that $Id : TP_A^r Y \rightarrow TP_A^r Y$ is the identity map for any $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -object Y .

Remark 1. A vector field W on a fibered-fibered manifold Y is projectable-projectable on $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -object Y , (1), if there exist vector fields W_1 on X and W_2 on N and W_0 on M such that W, W_1 are π -related and W, W_2 are q -related and W_2, W_0 are π_0 -related and W_1, W_0 are p -related.

Clearly, a vector field W on a fibered-fibered manifold Y is projectable-projectable on $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -object Y , (1), if and only if the flow $\{\Phi_t\}$ of the vector field W is formed by local $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -maps, [5].

We write $\mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$ for the space of all projectable-projectable vector fields on $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -object Y . It is a Lie subalgebra of the Lie algebra $\mathcal{X}(Y)$ of all vector fields on Y .

For a projectable-projectable vector field $W \in \mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$ its flow lifting $\mathcal{P}_A^r W$ is a vector field on $P_A^r(Y)$ such that if $\{\Phi_t\}$ is the flow of W , then $P_A^r(\Phi_t)$ is the flow of $\mathcal{P}_A^r W$.

To give another example of a natural affinor on P_A^r we will use the following lemma, [2].

Lemma 1. *Assume that Y is a fibered-fibered manifold (1) of dimension (m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2) . Then any vector $w \in T_v P_A^r(Y)$, where $v \in (P_A^r(Y))_y$, $y \in Y$, is of the form $w = \mathcal{P}_A^r W_v$ for some $W \in \mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$ and $j_y^r W$ is uniquely determined, where $\mathcal{P}_A^r W$ is the flow lifting of W to $P_A^r(Y)$.*

Proof. Clearly, we can assume that $Y = \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ and $y = (0, 0, 0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}$. Since $P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})$ is obviously a principal subbundle of the r -th order frame bundle $P^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2})$ then by the well-known manifold version of Lemma 1, we find $W \in \mathcal{X}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2})$ such that $w = \mathcal{P}^r W_v$ and $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r W$ is uniquely determined, where $\mathcal{P}^r W$ is a vector field on $P^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2})$ being a flow lifting of vector field W and $v \in P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})$. For a projectable-projectable vector field $\widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})$ the vector $\mathcal{P}^r \widetilde{W}_v \in T_v P^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2})$ is tangent to $P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})$ at the point v . On the other hand, the dimension of the space $P_A^r(\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})$ and the dimension of the space of r -jets $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \widetilde{W}$ of projectable-projectable vector fields $\widetilde{W} \in \mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})$ are equal. Then Lemma 1 follows from the dimension equality, since the flow operator is linear. \square

Example 2. Let

$$B : J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1} T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \rightarrow \left(J_{(0,0,0,0)}^r T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \right)_0$$

be a linear map, where

$$J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1} T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} = \left\{ j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1} V \mid V \in \mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}) \right\}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(J_{(0,0,0,0)}^r T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \right)_0 \\ &= \left\{ j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V \mid V \in \mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}), V_{(0,0,0,0)} = 0 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

are vector spaces and $\mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$ is the vector space of all projectable-projectable vector fields on Y . Given a $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -object Y we define a vertical affinor $\mathbf{A}_Y^B : TP_A^r Y \rightarrow VP_A^r Y \subset TP_A^r Y$ by

$$(4) \quad \mathbf{A}_Y^B(v) = VP_A^r \varphi((\mathcal{P}_A^r \tilde{v})_\theta), \quad v \in T_{j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \varphi} P_A^r Y, \quad j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \varphi \in P_A^r Y,$$

where $v = (\mathcal{P}_A^r \bar{v})_{j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \varphi}$, $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})$ is a projectable-projectable vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ with $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r(\tilde{v}) = B(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}(\varphi_*^{-1} \bar{v}))$ and $\theta = j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}}) \in P_A^r \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$. Here $\mathcal{P}_A^r V \in \mathcal{X}(P_A^r Y)$ denotes the flow lifting of a projectable-projectable vector field V on Y to $P_A^r Y$. We can show that $\mathbf{A}^B(v)$ is well defined. Precisely $j_{\varphi(0,0,0,0)}^r \bar{v}$ is determined uniquely by v (see Lemma 1).

Then $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}(\varphi_*^{-1} \bar{v}) \in J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1}(T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})$ is determined uniquely by v and $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r(\tilde{v}) \in (J_{(0,0,0,0)}^r T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})_0$ is determined by v . Then $(\mathcal{P}^r \tilde{v})_\theta$ is determined by v and it is a vertical vector. Thus $\mathbf{A}_Y^B(v)$ is determined by v and it is a vertical vector. Using the linearity of the flow operator we obtain that $\mathbf{A}_Y^B : TP_A^r Y \rightarrow VP_A^r Y \subset TP_A^r Y$ is a vertical affinator.

It is easy to see that the family $\mathbf{A}^B = \{\mathbf{A}_Y^B\}$ of affiners $\mathbf{A}_Y^B : TP_A^r Y \rightarrow TP_A^r Y$ for any $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -object Y is a $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -natural affinator on P_A^r .

The main result of the present note is the following classification theorem:

Theorem 1. *Any $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -natural affinator \mathbf{A} on P_A^r is of the form*

$$(5) \quad \mathbf{A} = \lambda Id + \mathbf{A}^B,$$

for a (uniquely determined by \mathbf{A}) real number λ and a (uniquely determined by \mathbf{A}) linear map

$$(6) \quad B : J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1} T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \rightarrow (J_{(0,0,0,0)}^r T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})_0.$$

In the proof of Theorem 1 we use the following fact.

Lemma 2. *Let $W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{X}_{proj-proj}(Y)$ be projectable-projectable vector fields on an $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -object Y and let $y \in Y$. Let us assume that $j_y^{r-1} W_1 = j_y^{r-1} W_2$ and $W_1(y)$ is not vertical with respect to the composition of the projections $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ and $p : X \rightarrow M$. Then there exists a local $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -morphism $\Phi : Y \rightarrow Y$ such that $j_y^r(\Phi) = j_y^r(id_Y)$ and $\Phi_* W_1 = W_2$ near y .*

Proof. The proof is a simple modification of the proof of Lemma 42.4 in [2]. \square

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\theta = j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r(id_{\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}}) \in P_A^r \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$. Suppose that $\mathbf{A}((\mathcal{P}_A^r V)_\theta) = (\mathcal{P}_A^r \tilde{V})_\theta$ and $V(0,0,0,0) \neq \mu \tilde{V}(0,0,0,0)$ for all μ and $\tilde{V}(0,0,0,0) \neq 0$. Then there exists an $\mathcal{F}^2 \mathcal{M}_{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ -map $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ preserving θ with $J^r T \psi(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V) = j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V$ and $J^r T \psi(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \tilde{V}) \neq j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \tilde{V}$. Then

$$(7) \quad \mathbf{A}((\mathcal{P}_A^r V)_\theta) = (\mathcal{P}_A^r(\psi_* \tilde{V}))_\theta \neq (\mathcal{P}_A^r \tilde{V})_\theta = \mathbf{A}((\mathcal{P}_A^r V)_\theta)$$

and it is a contradiction. Then

$$(8) \quad T\beta^r \circ \mathbf{A}((\mathcal{P}_A^r V)_\theta) = \lambda(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V)V_{(0,0,0,0)},$$

for some (not necessarily unique and necessarily smooth) function

$$\lambda : J_{(0,0,0,0)}^r T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

where $\beta^r : P_A^r \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_1 + m_2 + n_1 + n_2}$ is the usual projection.

We prove that λ can be chosen from smooth functions. Let λ be such that (8) holds. Since the left side of (8) depends smoothly on $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V$ then the function $\Phi : J_{(0,0,0,0)}^r (T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$(9) \quad \Phi(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V) = \lambda(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V)V^1(0), \quad 0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$$

is smooth and $\Phi(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V) = 0$ if $V^1(0) = 0$ where

$$(10) \quad V_{(0,0,0,0)} = \sum_{i=1}^{m_1} V^i(0) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} \Big|_{(0,0,0,0)} + \dots$$

Then (it is the well-known fact from mathematical analysis) there is a smooth map $\psi : J_{(0,0,0,0)}^r (T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$(11) \quad \Phi(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V) = \psi(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V)V^1(0).$$

Then we can put $\lambda = \psi$ and (8) holds.

Since the left hand side of (8) depends linearly on $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r V$ we have $\lambda = \text{const}$. Replacing \mathbf{A} by $\mathbf{A} - \lambda Id$ we see that $\mathbf{A}(v)$ is vertical for any $v \in T_\theta P_A^r \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$.

We define a linear map

$$(12) \quad B : J_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1} T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2} \rightarrow (J_{(0,0,0,0)}^r T_{proj-proj} \mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2})_0$$

by

$$(13) \quad B(j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1} V) = j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \tilde{V},$$

where \tilde{V} is a unique projectable-projectable vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ with coefficients being polynomials of degree $\leq r-1$ (with respect to the canonical basis of vector field on $\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$) such that $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1} \tilde{V} = j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1} V$, and $\mathcal{P}_A^r(\tilde{V})_\theta = \mathbf{A}((\mathcal{P}_A^r \tilde{V})_\theta)$.

We will show that $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^B$. Clearly B is well defined. (For, $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \tilde{V}$ is determined by $(\mathcal{P}_A^r \tilde{V})_\theta = \mathbf{A}((\mathcal{P}_A^r \tilde{V})_\theta)$ and $\mathcal{P}_A^r(\tilde{V})_\theta$ is determined by $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \tilde{V}$ (see Lemma 1) and $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^r \tilde{V}$ is determined by $j_{(0,0,0,0)}^{r-1} V$ (by the definition of \tilde{V})). Moreover, since \mathbf{A} is of vertical type then $\tilde{V}(0, 0, 0, 0) = 0$. That is why B is well defined. Then (by the definition of B) we see that $\mathbf{A}((\mathcal{P}_A^r V)_\theta) = \mathbf{A}^B((\mathcal{P}_A^r V)_\theta)$ for all projectable-projectable vector fields V on $\mathbb{R}^{m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2}$ with coefficients being polynomials of degree $\leq r-1$ (with

respect to the canonical basis of vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2+n_1+n_2}$. But (by Lemma 2) any projectable-projectable vector fields W on $\mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ with non-vanishing projection on \mathbb{R}^{m_1} is ψ -related (near $(0, 0, 0, 0)$) to some projectable-projectable vector field V with coefficients being polynomials of degree $\leq r-1$ for some θ -preserving $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -map $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$. Consequently $\mathbf{A}(v) = \mathbf{A}^B(v)$ for any $v \in T_\theta P_A^r \mathbb{R}^{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$. Then $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}^B$ because of the $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -invariance of \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{A}^B and the fact that $P_A^r Y$ is the $\mathcal{F}^2\mathcal{M}_{m_1,m_2,n_1,n_2}$ -orbit of θ .

If $\mathbf{A}^B = \mathbf{A}^{B'}$ then $B = B'$. If λ' i B' are such that $\mathbf{A} = \lambda' Id + \mathbf{A}^{B'}$, then $\lambda = T\beta^r \circ \mathbf{A}((P_A^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i})_\theta) = \lambda'$ and $B = B'$. \square

Remark 2. Natural affinors on $P_A^r Y$ can be used to define a generalized torsion of connections on $P_A^r Y$. Any natural affinator $\mathbf{A} : TP_A^r Y \rightarrow TP_A^r Y$ defines a torsion $\tau^A(\Gamma) := [\mathbf{A}, \Gamma]$ of a principal r -th order connection $\Gamma : TP_A^r Y \rightarrow TP_A^r Y$ on fibered-fibered manifold Y , where the bracket means the Frölicher–Nijenhuis bracket.

A principal r -th order connection Γ on $P_A^r Y \rightarrow Y$ is a right invariant section $\Gamma : P_A^r Y \rightarrow J^1 P_A^r Y$ of the first jet prolongation $J^1 P_A^r Y \rightarrow P_A^r Y$ of $P_A^r Y \rightarrow Y$. Equivalently, Γ can be treated as the corresponding lifting map $\Gamma : TY \times_Y P_A^r Y \rightarrow TP_A^r Y$, [2].

REFERENCES

- [1] Doupovec, M., Mikulski, W. M., *Gauge natural constructions on higher order principal prolongations*, Ann. Polon. Math. **92** (2007), no. 1, 87–97.
- [2] Kolář, I., Michor, P. W. and Slovák, J., *Natural Operations in Differential Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [3] Kolář, I., *Connections on fibered squares*, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Skłodowska Sect. A **59** (2005), 67–76.
- [4] Kurek, J., Mikulski, W. M., *The natural affinors on the r -th order frame bundle*, Demonstratio Math. **41** (2008), 701–704.
- [5] Mikulski, W. M., *The jet prolongations of fibered-fibered manifolds and the flow operator*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **59** (3-4) (2001), 441–458.

Agnieszka Czarnota
 Institute of Mathematics
 M. Curie-Skłodowska University
 pl. Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej 1
 20-031 Lublin, Poland
 e-mail: czarnota.agnieszka@interia.pl

Received June 12, 2008